NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | September 16, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10¢

COURAGE IN CONGRESS DEFERS CONSCRIPTION

Draft Postponement Gives Voters Chance to Elect Peace Bloc

(See Page 3)

King Carol, Last Symbol of Britain in Europe, Out

British Empire Unionists Use Radio Monopoly

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ JOHN BULL TAKES OVER ★ WE TOLD YOU: SOME FACTS AND FORECASTS

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Dinner Chat

Walk, or Gallup It Was a Poll!

MR. WENDELL WILLKIE'S politicat "boner" in going out of his way to tell the world that he didn't want the vote of anybody who reads SOCIAL JUSTICE National Magazine — or words to that *effect*—certainly raised the very dickens with our attempt to poll reader opinion on the forthcoming Presidential election.

Returns from a preliminary survey by States—computed over the Labor Day week-end; and those coming in for final computation since the Willkie statement, are widely at variance.

Under the circumstances, it may be wise to withhold publication of this early report by States until the final computation. At that time, it may be interesting—and illuminating—to print both tables.

' ' '

SOCIAL JUSTICE makes no claims for its poll of reader opinion on the election outlook.

For three successive weeks we printed a report form in which we asked readers of the magazine to indicate their own opinion, and report the result of their inquiry among five other persons in their community.

We did not ask their PREFERENCE but their OPINION as to the probable outcome.

We did not ask *who you intend to vote for, but*

Who do you think will be elected President?

What do your neighbors say?

' ' '

Does such a poll of readers of a national magazine like ours constitute a cross-section of public opinion? SOCIAL JUSTICE does not say.

Let it be quite clear, therefore, that we make no claim to "forecasting the November election" or even to charting any extensive "trend of public opinion" in the circulation areas covered by the magazine.

We simply asked our readers for their opinion and they have reported it; literally by the thousands. Frankly, we here at the editorial offices were not prepared for the response.

We knew, of course, that our readers were loyal friends of the magazine, but the remarks and letters with which scores of hundreds accompanied their poll reports are most encouraging.

Our thanks is extended to all of you who took the time to question friends and acquaintances and report your findings.

We believe it was an *honest poll.*

The editors do not hold diplomas from any school of "graphology," but we believe we know an honest letter from a farmer, or a small business man, or a taxpayer, when we read one—we've been reading them for more than four years.

When a store owner or a barber shop proprietor writes that he "talked to the customers as they came in and this is what they said," we believe him.

' ' '

Some of the reports frankly questioned the value of their own poll, saying that they thought people were expressing their *preference* instead of their *opinion.*

And here are a few out of hundreds of other comments:

"The only issue you could vote on between the 'interventionists' Roosevelt and Willkie would be the third term. The issue should be peace or war. Economic freedom or regimentation."

' ' '

"Minnesota has always been a strong Republican state. I do not hesitate to say that Minnesota will go Republican again . . . My personal opinion is that neither candidate can be depended upon. The Kuhn-Loeb bankers are back of Roosevelt and J. P. Morgan back of Willkie, as I see it, and we're between the devil and the deep blue sea."

' ' '

"Many people believe that Roosevelt will get us into war before snow flies . . . I find lots of Democrats are not going to vote this Fall. Very few Republicans are against Willkie."

' ' '

"In our judgment, the next President of the United States will be Wendell Willkie—that is, providing we do not go to war before November 5. But if F.D.R. gets us into the war, we think he'll remain President."

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of Government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized

Vol. 6 September 16, 1940 No. 12

Ants Give Pete an Idea

HELLO, FOLKS:

"Well," I'll bet y're sayin' t' y'rself, "look who's here again! Has annuther week gone by already?"

Yep, it has, alright, 'n it's time f'r Pestiferus Pete t' pay his littul visut again, 'n t' "Winchell it" as best he can with what comes his way. 'Course, I know I'm not in Walter's class, not gettin' 'round t' all th' spots 'n keholes—all I see is what passes in front uv me while I wander 'round my own bailiwick right here in my own littul backyard.

But, like I've said before, if y're smart 'n keep y'r eyes open 'n y'r ears, too—uv which I have *p-ulenty* — (I'm sometimes called "Clark"—jus' a nikname) y'll find that th' world goes 'round in small places jus' like it does in big, importunt cities. Sometimes y' can see even more, 'cause there isn't all th' hurryin' 'n skurryin' 'n pushin'.

Jus' th' other day Pal was down payin me a littue brotherly call, 'n while we lay out in th' nice, warm September sunshine, we got kinda peaceful-like f'r a change. Sometimes we talked 'n sometimes we jus' relaxed 'n watched th' world roll by on Woodward Avenoo, thinkin' our own thoughts.

After a few minutes uv jus' thinkin', Pal said t' me, "Pete, where d'y'spose all those peepul come from, 'n where're they hurryin' t' 'n what're they gonna do when they get there—if they get there at all, at th' rate uv speed they're travelin' mos' uv th' time?"

Gee, whiz, I most jumpt outta my skin—'cause I was jus' thinkin' th' same thing myself. Talk 'bout —what is it they call it—a mentul telafone 'r somethin' — we were usin' it that day, all right.

So I said t' my big brother—I still call him that, though I am a bit taller'n he is — "I've wondurd 'bout that myself lottsa times. Day after day, I c'n see 'em flyin' past me till sometimes I feel 'sif I'm goin' 'bout sixty miles 'n hour myself, I get that dizzy. D'y' know, I 'magine they'd all look like a bunch of ants all runnin' this way'n that, if we could be floatin' up there on one uv those fleecy white clouds lookin' down at 'em 'stead of up at 'em."

"Did y' ever notuce a famly uv ants?" I said t' Pal, who turned t' me, quite s'prized that his baby brother ever had a thought in his life that wasn't konnected with his stumuk 'r with some mischuf he could cook up.

"No, guess I never did," said Pal. "I always had enuf peepul t' watch when I was put out in my yard. How are they like these luttul ants I see runnin' 'round here in th' sand by this tree nex' t' me?"

"Well," said I, "jus' look at 'em 'n y' c'n see f'r y'rself. See how they run this way 'n that way, each one goin' 's fast 's his luttul legs'll carry him. Ev'ry one uv 'em, y'd think, was runnin' f'r President 'r somethin' jus' 's importunt 'n they hafta get there in nothin' flat. They push their fellow ants outta their way 'r crawl right over 'em t' get where they think they wanna go. Some, I mus' admit, 're a littul more p'lite 'n pass either t' th' right 'r t' th' left—in ant-dom I guess they haven't got enny traffik regyalashuns.

"'N what d'y' think all th' fuss 'n fether's 's 'bout? Somethin' big 'r beyootiful 'r grand? No. They're all breakin' their necks t' get inta my meal 'r t' pick up a few crums that might drop off my plate. Yep, they're all tryin' their bes' t' pick up a littul bit uv th' things they need to live on. 'N, d'y' notice, Pal, how each one uv 'em runs right home with th' crum he picks up? That's what I think all these peepul do, who fly by us in their cars. Each one is out lookin' f'r a crum 'r two t' take home."

Pal had lissuned t' my littul bit uv filosafizen without interuptin'. Then, with that wise look he c'n put on his face like no other canine pal I've ever met up with can, he said t' me: "'N I s'pose y're diffrunt from peepul 'n ants 'n all other livin' creatures, eh? Well y're not, my dear littul brother, y're jus' one uv th' big parade that travels along lookin' f'r those crums y' were talkin' 'bout.

"What d'y' think *y're* doin' when y' ask f'r subskripshuns? Aren't they th' same as food 'n drink t' *you?* Th' only diffrunce is, you sit here 'n th' good peepul out on th' road do th' skurryin' 'n th' work t' get those crums t'gether t' send in t keep SOCIAL JUSTICE livin'. Y' owe those peepul a great big 'thank-you', my friend."

That got me thinkin', folks, 'n Pal's right. I do owe y' a lot 'n so does SOCIAL JUSTICE. All uv us konnected with it give y' a great big rousing "thank you" from th' bottum uv our hearts. We jus' couldn't get along without our faithful, loyal frien's, our "ants" (no offense meant, folks), who work so hard t' keep th' ol' printin' press rollin' out SOCIAL JUSTICE week after week.

Well, folks, time's up! Hope I haven't bored y' t' tears.

See y' later. —Pete

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name _____

Address _____ City _____

State _____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Congress Retreats

RUBBER STAMPISM, directed by Franklin D. Roosevelt, received a rude shock last Thursday when the House of Representatives, by a decisive majority, practically tabled the *Burke-Wadsworth conscription bill.* Although the bill on final vote passed the House 263 to 149, its operation was deferred for 60 days, and changes inserted which will send the measure back for Senate reconsideration.

In fine, the House of Representatives, fearing the voting population more and the President less, voted to postpone conscription for 60 days—long enough to carry them over the barrier of November 5th.

The American voting public will graciously remember that it was a Republican —Representative Hamilton Fish of New York—who proposed the amendment which virtually hamstrung the peace-time *Conscription Act* and saved America from joining the ranks of the Totalitarian States.

The American public will graciously remember that it was a Democrat—an anti-New Deal Democrat in the person of Representative Martin Sweeney of Ohio—who, careless of patronage and Presidential pump-handling, defied not only Franklin D. Roosevelt but his unseen bosses whose war-will he has supinely followed for more than four years.

And now there is hope—a dim rainbow of hope—that Candidate Willkie, pulsing the sentiment of the nation, will have courage to campaign on a plank of "no peace-time conscription."

There is still well-grounded suspicion that if Franklin D. Roosevelt is *"Punch,"* then Wendell Willkie is *"Judy"*—both being pulled by the same unseen hands, bent upon moving the American people to war.

Mr. Willkie alone can dissipate this suspicion.

As for candidates for Congress—their position between now and November 5th is crystal clear.

Conscription and, therefore, war will be advocated by some.

Enlistment and, probably, peace will be advocated by others.

Let the American people use "every means short of war"—short of revolution—to send to Congress next November peace-minded Representatives.

Be not affrighted.

Organize to *support candidates who stand for the principles of Americanism and who pledge themselves to the principles of peace.*

Support only those candidates who are opposed to dictatorial conscription.

We can still turn the battle in the favor of liberty.

We can capitalize upon the retreat which began last Thursday in the halls of Congress

Let not the present Representatives at Washington appeal to us because they have *postponed* conscription

The only appeal that should register in our conscience is the one which is *opposed —violently opposed—to peace-time conscription.*

The 'Cleveland Press'

THE FOLLOWING letter appeared recently in the above named newspaper.

With bowed heads, Pete, Pal and the Circulation Department admit the truth which it enunciates.

Here it is:

"To the Editor of *The Press:*

"Having read the article in *The Press* concerning Mr. Willkie and Charles Coughlin, I wonder if you realize what a good salesman you are for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine. Most everyone I know wanted a copy and the boys having run short, had to send to Royal Oak for back copies. Also several have sent in subscriptions.

"We all love excitement and I can hardly wait to receive my magazine. I am not a follower, never have been and never thought I would subscribe for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, of all papers.

"What a joke the Royal Oak man has on all of us. He seems to be like the Church he represents. The more it is persecuted, the stronger it grows. Its people seem to love it all the more.

—M. B."

Index to Comment

Congress Retreats; Cleveland Press; King Carol Quits; M.P. to Phil! ..... **Page 3**

Jittery Consciences; John Bull Takes Over; Is Celler 'Anti-Semitic'? ..... **Page 4**

Plant Seizure Out; Hitler the G.O.P. Candidate; More About Lindbergh; Mr. Willkie's 'Brain Truster'; 3-Million Fewer Workmen; Voting Record ..... **Page 5**

Editorials: War Prospects; September 1, 1940; Senator Ernest Lundeen; Paul Mallon; Few U. S. Warplanes ..... **Page 6**

Voice of Treason; 'Current Biography'; Spies ..... **Page 7**

Britain Is Winning?; Hitler-Stalin-Balkans; Costa Rican Culture; Save British Children; Hoosiery ..... **Page 8**

PM—Pro-Marx or Post-Mortem; Hearing Postponed; Justice Frankfurter ..... **Page 9**

Facts and Forecasts: We Told You So ..... **Page 10**

Book Not to Be Read ..... **Page 11**

Too 'Hot' to Handle; 'Dictator' Roosevelt Commits an Act of War ..... **Page 12**

Laws Made to Order; Oil; W. R. Hearst and Mr. F.D.R. ..... **Page 13**

Our Preparedness; Black Magic; Mme. Tabouis; The Drift to War ..... **Page 14**

Clare Hoffman; Elliott Roosevelt; Pepper Pot; Dr. Edward Lodge Curran ..... **Page 15**

The Nation's Outlook ..... **Page 20**

King Carol Quits

AS WAS to be expected, Carol of Roumania has abdicated his throne.

Michael, his 19-year-old son, before 9 o'clock last Friday morning raised high his hand and solemnly said:

"I willingly assume the duties of King of Roumania. May God help me."

Meanwhile, Transylvania has been restored to Hungary, and Jewish-dominated Buchovina has been assimilated by Soviet Russia.

These two provinces will be regarded by some as a distinct loss to Roumania.

All this is compensated for, however, by the going of King Carol, who proved to be nothing more than a puppet who danced on strings manipulated by the fingers of Mme. Lupescu, his mistress, who well represented the Jewish internationale within the borderlands of Roumania.

And now the axis has been strengthened.

Hungary, Roumania, Bulgaria and Greece—all are lost to the internationalists who worked through the framework of the British pound sterling.

All have linked their destiny to Berlin and Rome, thereby presenting a unified Europe with the exception of Sovietland.

With the quitting of King Carol, Britain's policy of encirclement is nullified. Oil, cereals, fruits, vegetables, meats and minerals will be plentifully distributed to all the units of the axis.

Not in our lifetime will the British navy be used to blockade and starve Europe as it did in 1917-18.

If British imperialism will persist in its policy of exploitation, the old exploitees will be the Asiatic Indians and, in a degree, the Canadian, Austrian, New Zealand and South African "colonists" or, more euphoniously speaking, "common wealthers."

The new exploitees, if that same policy will be continued, will be the Americans who were gullible enough to pay for the first World War at the cost of their prosperity, and tranquil enough to pay for the second World War at the cost of their liberty.

King Carol will remain as a symbol in the pages of history.

He will represent, for all practical purposes, the departure of the rapacious British Lion from the continent of Europe; for there is not much territory left, save Malta and Gibraltar, upon which the clawing beast can stand.

' ' '

M.P. to Phil!

THE ABOVE headline, translated, means *"More Power to Philadelphia!"*

Miss Helen Coen informs our editorial office that her branch of the National Legion of Mothers and Women of America numbers over 500 in the city where there is enshrined the cracked Liberty Bell.

This organization holds meetings once a week in various halls or hotels.

But, occasionally, the William Allen White committee, otherwise known as the *Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies,* sabotages these meetings of the mothers.

Recently, the mothers retaliated by picketing the offices of the *Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies.*

These stalwart women advertised this false front in its real colors—a British propaganda agency.

(Continued on Page 4)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

Of course, the good ladies were called "fifth columnists," "Coughlinites," "Nazis" and un-Americans.

They have enough intelligence to understand that the original "fifth columnists" stood for Christianity and patriotism.

They have enough intelligence to understand that Coughlinites are anti-Nazi, anti-Communist, pro-God and pro-country.

When do such qualifications make anyone un-American?

The inspiration emanating from these women in Philadelphia and elsewhere should cause thousands—yes, millions—of ladies throughout America to campaign to keep this country for America—not for Great Britain, not for Germany, not for Russia, not for any one class.

Perhaps, you know what we mean. The class that expects 130-million Americans to go to war to settle its grudge against Hitler!

' ' '

Jittery Consciences

AND SPEAKING of America's mothers, the whole nation owes a debt of gratitude to those determined women whose vigil at the National Capitol had much to do with the show of Congressional courage which has postponed peacetime conscription.

When the rest of the country was inclined to give up hope—figuring that if the Senate had failed to stop the drive to war, a "rubber stamp" Congress would not stand up—these Mothers only redoubled their campaign.

"Tell the Mothers of America that *it is never too late to come to Washington and call upon your Congressmen to keep this country out of war* by stopping this conscription of our boys in peacetime," was the message which the President of the Mothers sent back home to the various States.

' ' '

The full story of that fateful day in Washington when the Senate voted peacetime conscription has yet to be printed in any newspaper.

We have yet to see any columnist's account of the "crowd from New York" that packed the Senate galleries. As one Mother described it, "It looked like another Youth Congress rally at the White House!"

Why was the Senate rule against applause from the gallery abrogated to accommodate these New York guests?

Who were the bearded gentlemen who walked about on the Senate floor talking to various Senators?

Why the sudden appearance of U. S. Marines in the Capitol corridors?

Asked why they were there, an officer of Marines said he understood *that "they" expected a riot.*

Who expected a riot?

Whose conscience was uneasy on the eve of a vote in the United States Senate to plunge this country into dictatorial preparation for war?

Were the Senators afraid of these American Mothers? Hardly.

Or, did "somebody" think that Americans would rise up in revolt at the raw deal about to be put over on the nation?

Whose consciences, we repeat, were jittery?

Why was the New York crowd so nervous? Did they fear reprisals from Americans West of New York City?

And who gave the press the signal to "lay off" all these things?

What IS going on, down in Washington, anyway?

What did Congressman Martin Sweeney mean the other day when he said in Congress that *"if the people knew what was going on behind the scenes in Washington they would start a revolution—not to overthrow but to PRESERVE democracy!"?*

Is Celler 'Anti-Semitic'?

IN THE House of Representatives last week, Congressman Celler of New York denounced what he called "the so-called lunatic fringe whose members beseiged my office and tried to intimidate me."

Representative Celler referred to a delegation of New York Communists and radicals who opposed the draft bill.

Said Representative Celler:

"Unfortunately, I say, a number of them were of the race of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, from which I sprang; but I am no part of them and they are no part of me.

"I spew them out! I castigate them!"

John Bull Takes Over

BY PRESIDENTIAL decree—and without benefit of Congress—Mr. Roosevelt has transferred the ownership of 50 so-called ancient destroyers from the United States of America to the Empire of Great Britain.

According to a law inscribed upon our statute books in 1917, this transfer appears to be illegal.

And according to tradition, the entire affair is unprecedented with the exception, perhaps, of the Louisiana Purchase.

The Louisiana Purchase, by which we obtained that territory for a stipulated sum of money, was engineered by a former President who afterwards found it necessary to approach Congress to obtain a sufficient sum of money to complete the deal.

Mr. Roosevelt took time by the forelock. He obtained $200-million from Congress before negotiating the deal.

Meanwhile, Congress can squirm and writhe in its uncomfortable position.

Congress can even lock the barn door now that the horse of its representative power has run away.

In return for the 50 so-called ancient destroyers the United States receives 99-year leases on base sites in Newfoundland, Bermuda, the Bahamas, Jamaica, Santa Lucia, Trinidad, Antigua and British Guiana.

These sites are to be used nominally to defend the territory of the United States and really to defend both the United States and Great Britain.

And what of the $200-million mentioned above?

This sum — and much more — will be spent in fortifying and modernizing these British possessions, which, at the moment, are more or less inefficient to ward off attack.

' ' '

In the above paragraphs briefly is chronicled a story which marks an important milestone in the history of the United States and of the world.

September 3, 1940, in future years may become a date equally as important as July 4th.

While the President has seen fit to announce the transfer of 50 warships for a 99-year lease on certain Western Hemispherical lands and islands, he has not advised the American public or the world of his further negotiations which, most probably, will identify our destiny with that of the British Empire.

It is assumed in many informed circles that such negotiations have been completed.

The transaction definitely involves American entrance into South America through the door of British Guiana.

It involves control of Canada through the control of our neighbor's eastern seaboard.

It involves the silencing of those who clamored for Great Britain to complete payments of her repudiated World War debts.

It involves the setting up of an economic parity between the United States and the Empire.

And, finally, it involves the entrance of the United States into the World War, possibly before November 5th, election day.

And this will involve the United States in the Second World War by virtue of the *Monroe Doctrine* and recent pronouncements made by Mr. Roosevelt.

The transfer of 50 United States warships to Great Britain also marks the transfer of an independent United States of America into a dependent Siamese twin diplomatically united to a moribund mate.

' ' '

As usual, the American public will not be aware of these involvements but will prefer to swallow the chocolate-coated magic pill of propaganda, through the ministrations of which, this miracle of reaction was accomplished.

Incidentally, well-informed circles understand that the Churchill government has promised to transfer the remnants of the British Navy to North America, following the evacuation from England.

The Churchill government is minus adequate funds to maintain its navy. Uncle Sam will board and lodge John Bull's sailor lads; will pay them their salaries; will repair their ships; and will be saved the necessity, so the public will be told, of building a two-ocean navy.

The great question arises as to what personage will dominate the destiny of North America five years hence.

Judging by the progress he has made, following his recent advent to our shores, Lord Lothian will be the master-mind of "Americanada."

' ' '

For all practical purposes September 3rd should cancel the need of a Presidential election. But, for face-saving, the election likely will be carried out in a perfunctory manner. If Mr. Roosevelt is returned to power, Wendell Willkie, following November 5th, will be given a place in the Third Termer's Cabinet.

Already the Republican candidate's name is being mentioned in connection with the Department of Labor or the Department of Commerce.

Old-time readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE will recollect that Father Coughlin, and others, predicted that 1936 would witness the last free Presidential election.

The transfer of 50 destroyers to Great Britain is indicative of John Bull's plight.

He is preparing to abandon England.

He is preparing to use these warships to fight a rear-guard action as British troops and silk-hat emigres will be transferred from Liverpool to Canada.

Already approximately 30 British warships of various types are anchored outside Halifax, having fled from German bombing planes.

Following the transfer of the British government from London to Canada, our northern neighbor will become the seat of the British Empire. Not Ottawa, but Toronto, Ontario, as elsewhere noted, is being readied to replace London.

England, like France, will lay down her arms. But Britain, through Canada, is expected to continue the combat.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Plant Seizure Out

THE SECTION incorporated in the *Burke-Wadsworth bill* by the Senate aiming at giving the Secretary of War power to confiscate industry under given circumstances, was blue-pencilled by the House of Representatives.

In fact, that portion of the Senate's *Burke-Wadsworth bill* was characterized as "Hitlerism."

In its stead a modified plan to conscript industry was approved by the House Military Affairs Committee.

At present the House language merely suggests that Government order should take precedence over non-Government order in factories; that if a plant holder refused to comply, the War or Navy Department could take over the plant on a rental basis.

On the floor of the House there was heard the cry: *"American conscription is American Fascism!"* It was uttered by William Kennelly, a New York labor leader identified with the National Maritime Union.

Perhaps, the New Yorker is right; perhaps, he is wrong.

However, the House of Representatives seemed to coincide with his views.

It is strange to observe that the House, in this instance, proved to be less radical than the Senate.

But both House and Senate can beat their barrel-chested breasts for the manner in which they—more than President Roosevelt—have betrayed this country through the passage of permissive legislation and through the extension of Executive powers.

Step by step Congress has invited the war into which this nation will be plunged.

When the proper time comes, Congress most likely will declare war against Germany simply because Congress is a sounding board for the propaganda which has so muddied the waters of American thinking that there is little sanity left in the nation.

It was so in 1916-17.

It is so today.

Probably in 1950 the pendulum of public opinion will swing to the other extreme, as it did in 1929.

Although the conscription of wealth has been voted down by the House of Representatives, it will come inevitably through legislation passed by the next Congress, now that the conscription of men is an accomplished fact.

The pendulum is swinging to the left. There is no power, under God, that can impede its movement!

' ' '

Hitler—
The Republican Candidate

RUMOR is rapidly gaining currency to the effect that not Wendell Willkie but Adolf Hitler is the Republican candidate for President.

Facetious?

Well, hardly so. According to the Democratic Vice-Presidential candidate, Henry Wallace, one would be apt to form that conclusion, namely that Hitler, not Willkie, is the Republican candidate.

In Henry Wallace's acceptance speech the name of Adolf Hitler appeared 21 times.

The name of President Roosevelt appeared 32 times.

The name of Wendell Willkie—well, let's not try to count its appearances.

Mr. Wallace insisted that Mr. Roosevelt be re-elected to save this country from Hitler—not Willkie.

Dewey Short, Republican Representative from Missouri, had a few remarks to pass upon this freak oration. Said he:

"Wallace argued that Roosevelt has been constantly warning the country against Hitler for seven years, while the Republicans have been sleeping or plotting appeasement. If Roosevelt so clearly foresaw that menace, why did he break up the London economic conference at the very outset of his administration in 1933, thereby encouraging Hitler to launch the barter program which enabled Germany to obtain materials to re-arm?

"Why did he advocate a disarmament conference between the United States, Great Britain, and Japan in 1935, when the Hitler menace, according to Wallace, was already apparent to the farsighted sage in the White House?

"Why did he use less than $700-million of the $18-billions given him by Congress for public works and relief—mostly in the form of a blank check—for army and navy construction?"

More About Lindbergh

One of Colonel Lindbergh's chief friends and advisers is Major Truman Smith of the United States Army Intelligence and former air attache in Berlin.

It is reported that Major Smith has been forbidden by his superiors to consort with Lindbergh any more.

Indeed, it is further reported that Mr. Roosevelt has ordered Smith's court martial if the Major and the Colonel meet again!

Willkie's Brain Truster

ARE YOU AWARE that the personal adviser and right-hand man of the Republican nominee is Russell Davenport?

Formerly, this gentleman was Managing Editor of *Fortune* magazine. It might be of interest to learn that he is a typical Anglo-Saxon college graduate and that his wife is the daughter of the Jewish violinist, Efrem Zimbalist.

Mr. Davenport, among other things, is one of the members of the *"Union Now"* group—the organization that wants the United States to enter the World War immediately by way of a merger with the British Empire.

Some of his confreres in the *"Union Now"* movement are Mrs. Thomas Lamont, Samuel Harden Church (the man who offered a million dollars for Hitler's capture), Harold Urey, former Communist fellow traveler.

And, by the way, Mr. Willkie is not really the world's greatest business man. Admittedly, he is a shrewd Wall Street lawyer, schooled in all the logic, bookkeeping, psychology, law and ethics long since associated with certain public utilities.

3-Million Fewer Workmen

ACCORDING to correct Government documentation, there are fewer persons employed by industry and business in 1940 than were gainfully employed in 1929.

Every decade in United States history—until this one—has recorded an advance in production and employment.

The years between 1930-1940 supplied the only exception.

The Government figures show that we have lost three million employes.

The Government figures also show that with fewer employes we produce more goods.

This is due to inventions, machinery and various step-up processes.

However, approximately a million unemployed found work in Government service.

When we take into consideration that there are six million young persons arriving at working age, this whole picture becomes alarming—particularly since few new jobs were created by private industry during the past ten years.

There is something to think about. Particularly labor leaders should think about this loss and, at the same time, should try to understand what is meant by a living annual wage as contrasted with a high hourly wage.

' ' '

Voting Record

A CORRESPONDENT submits the voting record of Senatorial proponents of the *Burke-Wadsworth conscription bill.*

The percentage ratings of the Senators are those compiled by *Plain Talk* Magazine and published in November, 1936.

Says the SOCIAL JUSTICE correspondent:

"In order to get a clearer understanding of the *Burke-Wadsworth conscription bill,* which will change the entire future of this nation, I believe the people ought to know something about the background of the individuals who are for or against it.

"The ratings are for votes cast for or against the interest of the people during the 74th Congress.

"It is unfortunate that the same interests which would move heaven and earth to put the *Tablet* and SOCIAL JUSTICE out of circulation, have succeeded in the case of *Plain Talk.*

"Now—or ten years from now—these records can still be your guide, because a leopard does not change his spots.

"By their fruits you shall know them, and with these records you can distinguish good legislation from bad merely by knowing the voting record of its sponsors.

"Keep in mind that *'a bad tree cannot bring forth good fruit!'* "

Plain Talk's Senatorial rating referred to was as follows:

Ashurst, Ariz.	.357%
Barkley, Ky.	.206%
Burke, Neb.	.000%
Byrd, Va.	.071%
Clark, Mo.	.572%
Connally, Tex.	.067%
Frazier, N. D.	1.000%
Glass, Va.	.000%
Guffey, Pa.	.206%
Holt, W. Pa.	1.000%
Johnson, Cal.	.500%
King, Utah	.000%
McNary, Ore.	.616%
Maloney, Conn.	.462%
Mead, N. Y., Cong.	.733%
Norris, Neb.	.800%
Nye, N. D.	1.000%
Pittman, Nev.	.357%
Shipstead, Minn.	1.000%
Tydings, Md.	.000%
Wadsworth, N. Y., Cong.	.071%
Wagner, N. Y.	.071%
Wheeler, Mont.	1.000%

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

War Prospects

THE CONQUEST of France makes Hitler five times more powerful in industrial production than is Great Britain.

American aid to Britain, of course, reduces this ratio of production, but still gives Hitler a clear margin of superiority.

Instead of betraying weakness, the withholding of a German invasion of England indicates German strength.

Hitler is preparing for a five-year war, so he says.

And time is on his side. Germany can outbuild Britain in all the machines of war except ships.

It appears as if Germany has little to fear relative to any large scale American air aid to Britain within two years.

Meanwhile, Germany and her allies are nibbling away at the British Empire and pounding away at British ports, ships and industries.

The foggy, cloudy autumn months in Europe will aid rather than hinder German air attacks, because clouds furnish a screen for bombers and bombers fly by instruments.

It is to be expected that raids over Britain will be more spasmodic but more deadly.

But as we say elsewhere, Great Britain is winning this war—according to the press.

Why should it interest us?

September 1, 1940

THE ABOVE date marks the beginning of the second year of the second world war.

More correctly, it marks the beginning of the second militaristic year.

The second world war began years ago. Viewing causes, it began at the peace table at Versailles—a peace table on which all the grudges, inequalities, injustices and crimes of the first World War were supposed to be settled.

"Tiger" Clemenceau, having signed the *Peace Treaty,* threw his pen on the table and said: *"There, that document is good for another ten wars!"*

And the Tiger was absolutely correct.

* * *

Rabid historians refuse to go back that far and further in analyzing the nature of the second world war. They satisfy themselves by beginning at Poland a little over a year ago. But they do not touch upon such minor details as the impossible fine imposed upon Germany, the seizure of German African colonies, the partition of European Germany, the starving of German women and children and the driving out of milk cows from the Fatherland to enforce the program of starving.

These "whimsical trivia," of course, had nothing to do with the failure of the German Republic immediately following the World War, and the consequent rise to power of Hitler after the departure of Mr. Bruening to the shores of America.

Of these things one should not argue overly much. It is better to let the dead past bury its dead. It is better to recognize that the most conspicuous cause of the present war is the failure of diplomats and politicians to use the simple brains God gave them for securing peace, contentment and happiness.

Like beasts, devoid of all reason and intelligence, they had recourse to the tooth and the claw, to the lie and intrigue.

* * *

Some day, the common people of the world will recognize that the type of culture which breeds a "Tiger" Clemenceau and his striped offspring is the basic cause for man's inhumanity to his fellow man.

And what was that culture? To begin with, it was godless; to end with, it was diabolical, resting its security upon the warped mind of fallen human nature; upon the bent propensities of jungle appetites.

Britain's government was and is dominated by a materialistic secret society.

So was the government of France.

So was the government of Germany.

So was the government of Soviet Russia.

And so is the Government of the United States of America, despite all its protestations to the contrary.

Not until Christ will be restored to the throne from which He was expelled, and to the parliaments from which He was excluded, can there be any solid, logical hope for peace, prosperity or contentment in this world, where little pigmy anti-Christs or non-Christs flounder amidst the black clouds of doubt and error on wings long since singed by the fires of rebellion.

There is no room for optimism as long as the destiny of mankind is guided by such dark angels.

Senator Ernest Lundeen

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine extends its sympathy to all Americans on the occasion of Senator Lundeen's tragic death.

Fallible, as every man, nevertheless the able Senator from Minnesota, putting patriotism above partyism, generally managed to be on the right side of every question careless of the personal sacrifices which his convictions cost him.

May we take this occasion to offer our condolence to Mrs. Lundeen and Miss Jean for the loss which they have sustained.

And, in the meantime, may we solicit spiritual remembrances from our many readers for the repose of the soul of one whom we were proud to call a friend.

Paul Mallon

IN HIS *"News Behind the National News,"* Mr. Paul Mallon wrote in his syndicated column of August 29th:

"Republican Congressmen held their heads and called for aspirin upon learning of Wendell Willkie's renunciation of proffered Coughlin support. Elsewhere there may have been admiration for his straightforward courage, but among Republican politicians there was only mourning that it might cost the Republican ticket over a million votes. The hitherto unbroken custom of presidential candidates has been to accept support from all quarters, even Communists, until after election day. No one has calculated how many votes Willkie may have gained from those who will be impressed by his unique honesty."

Fewer U.S. Warplanes

THE AMERICAN air force possesses fewer warplanes than it did six months ago, it was revealed last week in Washington.

This despite the fact that President Roosevelt and his New Dealers have warned us that our country faces the greatest peril in its history.

This despite the fact that conscription has been jammed down the throats of Americans by the argument that we must concentrate all our energies to ward off a possible "German invasion."

Why are American air defenses in a weaker state than they were at the beginning of 1940?

Because 80 per cent of our new warplanes are going to Britain.

The exact number of bombers and fighters being shipped across the Atlantic is not known to Americans.

Newspaper reporters who tried to learn the figures were shunted around from Government agency to Government agency until they realized that the Administration was purposely withholding the information.

Is it that the White House doesn't dare to release the facts?

The New Deal's secret machinations with the Churchill government in England are beyond belief.

A situation is arriving where people in the know are beginning to bet whether the collapse of America will come from without or within.

The collapse is taken for granted.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Radio Monopoly

Voice of Treason

LAST YEAR radio station WMCA forced Father Coughlin off the air because of his spirited condemnation of Communism, Naziism, and other un-American doctrines.

It was advertised, you remember, that the Reverend Orator was "controversial."

Having abnegated the fundamental American right of free speech, WMCA, unsurprisingly, has become the outlet for a propaganda campaign intended to destroy the independence of the United States.

The voice of Royal Oak has been shut off.

In its place New York listeners now hear the throaty warblings of Robert Emmet Sherwood, the mountainous and monotonous Hollywood and Broadway playwright, whose 6 feet, 7 inches of bone and bulk are dedicated to *Union Now* and the involvement of this country in a second World War.

Mr. Sherwood strode before WMCA's microphone a week ago and opened the first of a series of thrice-weekly broadcasts sponsored by *Federal Union*, the organization that wishes the United States to cast aside its hard-won sovereignty and rejoin the British Empire.

They would abrogate and cancel out all that was fought for by the Colonials of 1776 and the Founding Fathers of the nation thereafter.

The radio program is entitled, *"The Hour for Union Now."*

In his keynote talk Mr. Sherwood told astonished Americans: "Sending fifty destroyers at once to Britain, voting $10-billion defense programs, conscripting millions of men . . . these measures are all to the good, but they are not nearly enough."

No, the warlike Mr. Sherwood wants all this, and heaven too!

And Mr Sherwood's idea of heaven is *Union Now*, whereby the United States will merge with the British Empire while the latter is at war.

Aid for Britain does not satisfy Mr. Sherwood. He desires war for Britain.

And, very condescendingly, WMCA allows the playwright to preach this subversive doctrine over the ether, a doctrine which, in times past, Americans would have cured by hanging Mr. Sherwood's six-foot, seven-inch frame to a lamp-post six feet, eight inches high.

Mr. Sherwood's idealistic love for Britain has, of course, a practical basis.

The millionaire Hollywood scribbler correctly understands that if Britain falls so will fall the plutocratic system which permits him to make a thousand dollars an hour writing plays.

If Britain falls, he will also lose his cozy little farm in Surrey, England, where surrounded by four servants he usually spends a quiet Summer betting on horse races.

But Mr. Sherwood does not dwell on these points in his speeches.

The millionaire intellectual fills the $20-a-week manual laborer with the palaver of "freedom" and "common brotherhood."

We must fight to preserve "democracy" says Mr. Sherwood, who fought to preserve it twenty-two years ago—not in the American army to be sure, but in the Canadian forces.

A few years back Mr. Sherwood was singing a different tune.

When it was fashionable—and profitable—to be against war, Mr. Sherwood wrote an anti-war play called *"Idiot's Delight."*

Then, when the Communists with their Lincoln Brigade in Spain had resurrected Abraham Lincoln, he dashed off a piece called *"Abe Lincoln in Illinois,"* which he sold to a Hollywood producer for $225,000—plus a share in the picture royalties.

His current offering on Broadway, *"There Shall Be No Night,"* neatly follows the shifting sands of newspaper propaganda and, in contrast with his previous plays, is both pro-war and anti-Communist.

No matter how much the fickle public changes its opinion, Mr. Sherwood is always able to trim his sails to the Broadway wind.

The only consistent thing about Mr. Sherwood seems to be his support of the New Deal.

It will be remembered that President Roosevelt personally indorsed the infamous *"Stop Hitler Now"* advertisement that was written by Mr. Sherwood and circulated throughout the nation by the *Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies.*

In this appeal Mr. Sherwood advocated aid to Britain "short of war."

Now, only a few weeks later, he reveals his rank hypocrisy by coming out the whole way for war under the *Union Now* program.

* * *

Though Mr. Sherwood's friendliness to Communism has cooled somewhat since the Nazi-Soviet pact, his Broadway friends are still in the dark as to how he stands on this issue.

The record, however, speaks for itself.

After the death of B. Charney Vladeck, a New York Red journalist and politician, Mr. Sherwood wrote the following concerning a magazine article by Vladeck:

"This was written by the late B. Charney Vladeck shortly after he first came to this country, a Jewish refugee from oppression in Tsarist Russia.

"Vladeck had been a member of the Bolshevist Party, had voted at the meeting which had elected Lenin their leader, and had served in prison for his revolutionary activities.

"He then emigrated to America . . . and he learned here that those illusive words, liberty and equality, may have profound meaning . . . here is the essence of what we like to call 'Americanization'."

Despite Sherwood's glowing words, Vladeck was an advocate of class war and a traitor to America throughout his life.

And despite his own protesting, Mr. Sherwood now fits into the same category.

* * *

'Current Biography'

VOLUME 1, Number 9 of this monthly publication has arrived at our editorial offices.

This apparently scholastic publication is published by the H. W. Wilson Company of New York and edited by Maxine Block.

Its purpose, judging from its contents, is to canonize radicals and excommunicate persons who have fallen foul of pro-Britishism and pro-Semitism.

Writing of Father Coughlin in this issue, the editor shamelessly admits that the information related to the Radio Priest was gleaned from the *American Mercury*, the *Commonweal, Look, New Masses, New Republic, New York Times, Time, American Catholic Who's Who*, W. C. Kernan, A. B. Magil, Ruth Mugglebee, W. B. Riley, J. L. Spivak, R. G. Swing and the Unofficial Observer.

The above complete list as set down by the editor of *Current Biography*, indicates how biased is this publication.

Mr. L. B. Ward's biography of Father Coughlin and *The Tablet* of Brooklyn are examples of source references totally neglected.

Spies

THERE ARE more than 400 foreign agents in the United States currently registered with the State Department.

Of these, 104 represent Great Britain.

Germany has 17 agents; Italy 13.

Thus, Great Britain has over three times more officially accredited foreign agents in the United States than the Axis.

Yet the criminal division of the Justice Department recently announced that British propaganda "does not threaten our security."

At the same time it stated that German, Italian, Russian and Japanese propaganda "does threaten our security," and announced that it will soon release official documents exposing such propaganda.

The test of propaganda is its effect on the opinions of the population.

The Gallup and *Fortune* polls have shown that less than one per cent of the American people favor Germany and Italy in the present war, while more than 90 per cent favor Great Britain.

Just as it did in the last war, German propaganda has utterly failed to convince any appreciable number of Americans.

British propaganda, on the other hand, has reached new pinnacles of success.

In the last war it succeeded in driving this country into killing or maiming nearly half a million Americans and nearly bankrupting our economic system.

Current British propaganda has nearly succeeded in repeating this process.

British propagandists today are swarming over the face of America.

One of them, as previously noted, Sir George Paish, openly boasted to Senator Wheeler that he had put America into the last war and would put it in this one.

In *"Propaganda in the Next War,"* a semi-official British government publication, Sidney Rogerson states (page 148): "Fortunately, with America our propaganda is on firm ground."

All foreign propaganda threatens the security of the United States and should be investigated and watched.

But the more dangerous propaganda is the *successful* propaganda, especially when it is designed to lure the United States into a world-wide war.

Washington officials know this, of course.

Purposely, however, they are ignoring British propaganda, simply because they want war and will treasonably contrive with any foreign agency which will get America into the conflict on the side of Great Britain.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Britain Is Winning?

ACCORDING to the daily press the British R.A.F. is more than holding its own against the Nazi air raiders.

And according to the British *communiques* released in America, the German losses surpass those of the English air force by more than 2 to 1.

Add to this the daily news stories informing the public that the British navy is supreme. Germany is cut off from receiving food supplies, and her ships are swept from the seven seas.

Following Chancellor Hitler's latest speech in which he said: "I'll be over," thereby threatening the subjugation of England, American headlines said: *"R.A.F.'s Attacks Belie the Fears for British Isles."*

Why, then, should the United States go to war against Germany when Britain is doing the job so systematically and overwhelmingly?

Either all this propaganda is right or it is wrong.

If it is right, let us keep our feet free from the European trap.

If it is wrong, the psychologists back of the propaganda are certainly piling bone-heads upon bone-heads if they hope by such a method to mold the minds of Americans in the pattern of war.

Incidentally, we recollect how certain American newspapers were controlled by the British Foreign Office prior to our entrance into the first World War.

In so far as that memory is so vivid, it would be rash even to suspect that the United States journalists are influenced one whit in the present instance by Lord Lothian and his registered British agents.

The fact is—if we believe the press—that Britain is winning the war.

Why, then, should we mess ourselves in it—particularly after we have sent over 50 warships, hundreds of airplanes, tons of munitions, over and above the help we accorded London through the agency of the Stabilization Fund and our *"Gold Brick" Purchase Act.*

Indeed, we can afford to be neutral, hoping that victorious Britain will treat us kindly at the conclusion of hostilities.

It is to laugh!

* * *

Hitler—Stalin—Balkans

A DEFINITE lull in the Battle of Britain has been observed for the past several weeks—and this, despite the constant heavy bombing of cities, industrial plants, ports and arsenals.

Something evidently went wrong with Der Fuerher's schedule.

And this something was the Balkans.

Practically every one of the Balkan countries was seething with turmoil.

Transylvania was cut off from Roumania.

Hungary almost threatened war.

Bulgaria was jittery.

And, finally, King Carol set up a dictatorship under an anti-Semite even though it was necessary for him to expatriate Madam Magda Lupescu.

But back of all this there stands Stalin.

Stalin started this communistic crusade in the Balkans by seizing Bessarabia and Bucovina.

The Red plunder campaign followed plans recently revealed by Col. Nicholas Novikov.

According to Ivan Narodny, author of the *"Russian Declaration of Independence,"* Stalin plans to crush the Balkan States.

Therefore, Hitler was greatly concerned in preventing such a program from being fulfilled.

Stalin and Hitler are enemies whom circumstances have thrown together against the capitalistic nations.

Nevertheless, they are enemies. One will turn on the other sooner or later, and the turning point will be caused by the Balkan unrest.

According to Narodny, Stalin is supposed to have said:

"We must crush those *bourgeois* Baltic republics as soon as possible. They are casting a dangerous shadow on our border provinces, with their boasts of prosperity and liberty.

"While the Estonian or Latvian farmers brag of plenty of food, comfort and money, and live like sybaritic socialites in America, our frugal farm workers are made unhappy by comparison. It simply has to stop!

"We must take them over as our provinces. This will facilitate our entrance into Sweden and Germany when the Nazis are exhausted in their militaristic march."

Costa Rican Culture

THE CULTURE in Costa Rica is popularly reputed to be below the American par.

However, here is a little Costa Rican culture which might serve as a mirror in which Americans will deign to dress themselves.

According to the *Chicago Tribune*: "Use of roulette wheels or any other form of gambling at charity festivals has been ordered banned in a memorandum sent to all dioceses in Costa Rica by high prelates of the Roman Catholic church.

"The festivals, held to raise funds for the church, are in the form of old-fashioned country fairs.

"Roulette wheels were being used in increasing numbers at these functions and many poverty stricken rural workers, carried away by their enthusiasm for gambling, often were deprived of their wages, the prelates said."

Save British Children

A LETTER signed by Mr. John Q. Tilson, treasurer of the International Child Service Committee of the Save the Children Federation, Inc., has come to our attention.

The purpose of the letter is to solicit funds for the helpless war refugee children.

Particularly, the organization has in mind the saving of English children.

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine was among the first to offer to care for 500 little English refugees.

Its offer still holds good. We will feed them, clothe them, school them.

However, we are disturbed over the fact that the British find ample room on their ships to transfer gold to this country to purchase munitions, warships and airplanes.

Why cannot these ships and gold be used in behalf of the English children?

And we are concerned over the fact that it is impossible to ferry across the Atlantic eight or nine million English children. The majority of this number cannot be moved from the British Isles.

Furthermore, there is the case of the Duke and Duchess of Windsor. They are childless. Yet they have not adopted one little waif to dwell with them in their home in the Bahamas, now being remodeled.

The Duchess appears to be more concerned over her coiffure than over the flesh and blood of England.

And Lord Lothian, well-salaried, well-kept ambassador that he is, has not adopted even a half dozen Welsh miners' waifs.

These observations are of use only to suggest to the wealthy pro-English and pro-British residents of America to set the example before calling upon the pro-Americans who are greatly concerned over the refugee children living in our midst—children disparaged as a result of the economic impositions which have victimized them.

* * *

Hoosiery

ONCE IN AWHILE when he's in the mood, Westbrook Pegler, gives his syndicate readers a glimpse of the old writin' feller whose flair for word carpentry made him a national columnist.

"Peg's" either not in the mood often enough, or else his bosses keep him "smearing" folks all the time. Pegler's a punk as a smearer, but at his oldtime best on something like Wendell Willkie's Hoosiery.

"To understand the Hoosierdom of Wendell Willkie, or any other Ausland Hoosier, you have to rub out all your preconceived notions and start over," he writes.

"Hoosiers are an entirely distinct breed of cats, and Hoosiery is comparable, in a harmless way, to the Germanism of the chosen people of a master race.

"They migrate freely, far and wide, few of them ever go back to Indiana except to strut their city clothes, in which they still look like Hoosiers, and they guard their racial purity, as it were, by avoiding marriage with the citizens of other states.

"When it comes time for a Hoosier to mate he goes back to Gosport or Union City or wherever he comes from and pairs off with some little number whose books he used to carry to schools.

"A Hoosier has Hoosier written all over him, but if other signs fail you can always tell him by the way he ties his necktie. The most expensive tie in the world looks like a two-bits necktie on a Hoosier. The reason is that they never can learn how to tie a four-in-hand. They get the clerk to knot it for them in the store, and after that they just slip the knot up and down the left-hand side. Their ties are the damnedest looking things after about three wearings . . .

"The race-true Ausland Hoosier usually sends his young back to Indiana to be educated and to look over the current Hoosier crop for Hoosier mates. After they are educated in Bloomington, Lafayette or Greencastle they return to the homes of their parents, and even though they never see Indiana again except from the window of a train or plane they remain Hoosiers always . . .

"Hoosiery is not affectation. It is something in the blood and bone and spirit of the breed. They speak of people as 'folks,' and they never remain strangers long anywhere because a Hoosier in a hotel lobby or on a train will speak right up to the next man, calling him 'brother,' probably, and in five minutes will know all about his family, his business and what he thinks of the war and the election.

"In five minutes more he will have a crowd around him singing the 'Banks of the Wabash.'

"So Mr. Willkie's return to Indiana was entirely natural. You don't de-Hoosierize a Hoosier by setting him down in Wall Street. More likely he will Hoosierize 'The Street'."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

PM—Pro-Marx, or Post-Mortem?

SOME TIME ago, SOCIAL JUSTICE had occasion to quip on the name of New York's latest daily tabloid newspaper.

It is *'PM'* to New Yorkers—and Pro-Marx to us.

Recently, however, the letters *'PM'* have suggested another meaning: *"Post-Mortem."*

You may remember that the *Daily Worker,* professedly owned, controlled and edited by the Communist Party of America, was ostensibly sold to three spinster ladies.

The new management disavowed any direct association with the Communist Party.

In fact, *The Daily Worker,* always a financial failure, practically went through the wringer because public opinion had turned thumbs down on it.

The silk-stockinged Communists, recognizing that their propaganda sheet was on its way to the grave yard, adroitly embalmed it in the wash sale above referred to.

Following its death (i.e., *Post-Mortem*), a new red sheet made its appearance on the streets of New York, called *PM.*

For all practical purposes it is the post-mortem edition of *The Daily Worker.*

Mr. Charles B. Hudson of Omaha, Nebraska, exposes this un-American post-mortem in the following words:

" *'PM.'* Many have asked what we know of the new 5c New York City daily, which contains no apparent 'paid ads.' Its staff has NUDEALERS, Liberals, Reds and Jews: e.g., its 'opinion' editor (smearer of Col. Lindbergh day after day) is Louis Kronenberger (p. 573 of *'Who's Who in American Jewry'*)—a C.I.O. (Judeo-Red) Newspaper Guild member, formerly with *Fortune* magazine, and writer for the radical *Nation* and *New Republic,* etc.). Its 'Labor' editor is Leo Huberman (p. 642 of *'Who's Who in American Jewry'*—member of Communist *League of American Writers,* Red Teachers Union, formerly associate editor of radical weekly used in high schools and colleges, *Scholastic*). Huberman's assistants are obvious Jews, James A. Wechsler (another well-known Red), Amos Landman and Leon Goodelman. *'PM's'* front cover 8-15-40 blares *'Coughlin Faithless to Church, Country—Hatred and Bigotry Spread Throughout Nation—Priest Defies Hierarchy's Opposition to Hitlerism,'* picturing him at mike, sub-caption reading: 'This is Hitler's Benedict Arnold No. 2. A priest, he has been faithless to his God, his Church, his Pope. A foreign-born American, he has been faithless to the country of his adoption.' Same cover editorial announcement reads: *'Demands Lindbergh Resign From Army.'*

"With official organ of Communism, *Daily Worker,* apparently losing caste and circulation, and ownership allegedly transferred to three old ladies (but management still Red), possibly to evade suits and censorship, it appears *'PM'* steps into the breech, reaching however many thousands the *Daily Worker* never did. Its object, in conformity with Organized Jewry-International Finance, seems to be to scare U.S.A. into war against Hitler."

' ' '

In its September 1st edition *PM* lets fly a few red barbs at Father Edward Lodge Curran because the eminent Doctor of Divinity spoke a kind word in defense of Father Coughlin.

And then the editor, in imitation of Adolf Hitler, said:

"Our apologies are for the weakness of our attacks, not their strength. When our muscles are harder and our co-ordination smoother *we will be able to hit Father Coughlin and Grandpapa Adolf much harder than we are hitting now.* And we are impatient for the combat."

Geewhillikens! The Radio Priest "ain't seen nothin' yet," according to these Big Bertha blasts which emanate from the typewriter of Ralph Ingersoll, the editor who wrote the threat referred to.

One supposes that all the friends of Father Coughlin in New York must immediately run to cover, lest *PM* will run SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine off the streets—and that's exactly what we suspect the editors of "Post-Mortem" would like to do.

' ' '

Hearing Postponed

MACKLIN BOETTGER, William Gerald Bishop, William H. D. Bushnell, John A. Viebrock and Captain John T. Prout, Jr., appeared in Brooklyn Federal Court last week to hear whether the Government intends to dismiss charges of sedition against them or start a new trial.

Owing to the absence of one of the Government attorneys, Judge Marcus B. Campbell postponed the hearing and set September 17 as the date on which the U. S. District Attorney's office must decide if it will continue its prosecution.

Meanwhile, the five defendants were allowed to remain free on bail.

The first trial, it will be remembered, ended in a severe defeat for the efforts of the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League, the Independent Order of Brith Abraham and similar other groups, when nine of the defendants were found not guilty and the jury was unable to agree with respect to five others.

Since the jurors voted 11 to 1 for the acquittal of Boettger, Bishop, Bushnell, Viebrock and Prout, it was expected that the charges against these young men would also be dismissed.

' ' '

Justice Frankfurter

COLLOQUIALLY the Justice of the Supreme Court was known as "the Papa of the Hot-Dogs," i.e., the elongated members of the brain trust.

Be that as it may, we prefer to let *The American Hebrew* of August 23, 1940, tell us about a member of their own race.

Felix Frankfurter likes noise — and plenty of it.

Of what group is noise—and plenty of it—characteristic?

Felix Frankfurter doesn't like Col. Lindbergh.

Let *The American Hebrew* tell the story about noise and Lindbergh:

"Unlike his predecessor, former Associate Justice Louis D. Brandeis, Associate Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter loves mingling with his fellow-men.

"Even before he stepped down from the bench, the venerable Brandeis lived a virtual recluse-like existence, rarely appearing in public places and seeing only a limited number of personal friends. He was, as Frankfurter is not, the traditional intellectual who avoids men in masses.

"But Justice Frankfurter likes meeting people, likes talking to them, likes getting their opinions as well as giving them his own. He will talk to the butcher, the baker or candlestick maker as readily and as honestly as he will to his good friends, the British Ambassador to the United States, Lord Lothian, or to Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson.

"Archibald MacLeish, the distinguished Librarian of Congress, is fond of telling how some 25 or 30 week-end guests at the Frankfurter home were talking so loudly that the Justice's clerk hastened to ask him if they were not making too much noise.

" 'Too much noise!' exclaimed Frankfurter. 'How could there be too much noise? Have I ever complained about noise?'

" 'No, judge,' answered the clerk. 'But this is the other fellow's noise!'

' ' '

"It was Justice Frankfurter, incidentally, who brought to the attention of President Roosevelt that Col. Truman Smith of the United States Army Intelligence and the War Department's foremost authority on Germany, was reported to have been collaborating with Col. Charles E. Lindbergh in the latter's curious series of 'appeasement' broadcasts.

"Col. Smith, as military attache in Berlin, got to know Col. Lindbergh well about 1938, when the latter was deciding to make the Nazi metropolis his home and was experiencing no difficulty in finding plenty of choice apartments from which Jewish occupants were being unceremoniously evicted at all hours of the day and night by Storm-Troopers.

"Col. Smith is acknowledged in the War Department to have a surprisingly accurate fund of information about Germany. Her movements in Poland are said to have been predicted with amazing accuracy by him. Col. Lindbergh, when he comes to Washington, often stays at the Colonel's house.

"Justice Frankfurter's reports, it is related, almost brought about a demand from the White House for Col. Smith's court martial but Chief of Staff George C. Marshall smoothed things over."

' ' '

If SOCIAL JUSTICE said such things about the esteemed member of the Supreme Court, or accused him of being the man who pounced upon Col. Lindbergh because the Colonel told the truth, we would be called anti-Semitic.

But, you see, the *American Hebrew* is not anti-Semitic, and it's O.K. for the editor of that publication to say what he pleases about a member of his own race.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Some Facts—and Predictions

We Told You So!

EVER SINCE Adolf Hitler's flying fortresses began raining death and destruction on the British Isles, newspaper rumors have been recurrent that the seat of the British Empire would be moved to Canada, if Britain should lose the war in England.

News reports lending substance to these rumors have appeared with increasing frequency in the daily press of late.

Once more, the readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE can say "we told you so."

Way back in 1939 (*"B.C." — before Churchill*), when King George VI and the charming and gracious Queen Elizabeth visited America, SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine suggested with grim facetiousness that probably they were "house hunting."

Plans, we observed, were being made to abandon Merrie England for the safer shores of North America. We predicted that the British Empire, in the not too distant future, would function from Ottawa instead of London. Perhaps, we should have said Toronto.

According to the latest information, a house in Toronto is being prepared for the King's residence.

Ottawa, an informant relates, is "too close to the Catholic Quebec," and for undisclosed reasons Toronto, closer to the United States, is considered more desirable as the new heart of the Empire.

Another informant reportedly counted some 30 British battleships at anchor in the harbor of Halifax, Nova Scotia.

* * *

Do these vessels comprise the advance guard of the British fleet, already taking refuge in Canadian waters?

The 50 destroyers which Mr. Roosevelt's administration has accommodatingly traded for Britain's use are said to be much more practicable.

The floating fortresses are very impressive to anchor off some far away land and wake the jungle echoes with sunset and morning guns. They scare the very dickens out of the natives, and thus help to maintain the far flung empire, but for purposes of defensive action the mosquito fleet is preferable.

It is recalled that launches, such as dot the harbor of any yacht club, evacuated Dunkirk.

* * *

The bulk of Britain's gold has long ago been shipped to North America; so, too, we are told, have many of the empire's valuable official records.

The gem stocks of Amsterdam and London rested in Canadian and New York vaults long before the *blitzkrieg* swept over Holland.

* * *

All of which gives rise to some interesting speculation.

Will the foreign refugee empire be content merely to remain in Canada as a "guest" in our Hemisphere; or must it dominate both Canada and the United States?

Unlike other refugee kingdoms, the British Empire will not be satisfied with any committee government.

Unless the thinking of our national leaders, and the policies they pursue, are radically revised, the British Empire, once it is entrenched in North America, will for all practical purposes absorb the 150-year-old United States.

True, this generation may not see the Stars and Stripes finally merged with the Union Jack — but, slowly and inexorably, the spirit of 1776 is to be cancelled out.

* * *

What has the incumbent administration done to avert such a catastrophe?

Have the politicians in national office conducted America's monetary and foreign policies along the lines drawn by the founding fathers of the Republic?

Decidedly not. They have hooked these policies to the coat tails of John Bull, letting him pull them in whichever direction he desired.

In flagrant violation of all standards of neutrality, our politicians in office have entered the European war on the side of the British Empire.

When, as and if, the rumored exodus from England occurs, therefore, let it be remembered that SOCIAL JUSTICE told you so.

* * *

We make no claim to the occult in our series of fulfilled predictions. They have been based upon the same facts to which others have access.

This factual information has come to us from Englishmen—*from Englishmen who are not "Britishers."*

It took no exceptional foresight to predict the continued depression, when administration politicians, for example, failed to carry out their 1932 promises to drive the money-changers from the temple of America.

Likewise, with many other predictions made by SOCIAL JUSTICE and by Father Coughlin.

* * *

An outstanding example was an editorial by Father Coughlin in SOCIAL JUSTICE on February 24, 1938, in which he said a European war was imminent, and that the United States would be dragged into it on Great Britain's side.

That was one and one-half years before the outbreak of the present conflict!

"Whenever it does break," the Radio Priest wrote, "it is reasonably certain that the present administration will favor our participating as a belligerent, should the interests of the imperial territory of Great Britain become jeopardized."

Again, on April 10, 1939—months before the Stalin-Hitler handshake shook the world — SOCIAL JUSTICE declared that "trade talk between Hitler and Stalin points toward alliance."

In the same issue Father Coughlin wrote: "The new plan of encirclement is forcing Germany and Russia into a league against capitalism and Christianity. *It will be absolutely fatal on the part of the United States to continue driving Germany towards Russia. If peace plans fail; if Hitler and Stalin join forces, it will be disastrous, at least momentarily, for European civilization.*"

What happened is history; and it happened as predicted by Father Coughlin in SOCIAL JUSTICE.

On April 17, 1939, SOCIAL JUSTICE disclosed that Russia and Germany had long been "secret military allies."

* * *

Practically everyone was amazed by the ease with which Hitler conquered France. The so-called experts thought France with her Maginot Line invulnerable.

Yet, on June 5, 1939—nearly a year before Hitler's invasion of France—SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine said: "The propaganda-painted picture of a France, strong, well-prepared and thoroughly unified is contradictory to the existing facts. Internally, France *has not recovered from the deliberate sabotage of Leon Blum, when he headed the Popular Front government.*"

Later events never bore out any statement more factually.

* * *

In the issue of May 15, 1939, SOCIAL JUSTICE, commenting on the appointment of Lord Lothian as British ambassador to the United States, declared that Lord Lothian's task would be to entangle this nation in European politics.

Can anyone assert that Lord Lothian has failed?

A month later, SOCIAL JUSTICE expressed the view that one of the chief reasons for the visit to America by the King and Queen of England was to cement United States-British relations, paving the way for an alliance in the event of war between Great Britain and Germany.

That "alliance" certainly is well on the way to being consummated!

* * *

In 1938, long before President Roosevelt publicly "discovered" America's state of unpreparedness, Father Coughlin declared that the United States needed an effective armada of airplanes and submarines to drive off any potential attackers of our shores.

We're "beginning to start to commence" to get these things "on order"—in 1940.

During the memorable Congressional fight last year over lifting the embargo, SOCIAL JUSTICE asserted that "cash-and-carry" would be merely the first step toward war.

Transfer of 50 American destroyers to the service of Great Britain's battle fleet is eloquent support to that prediction.

* * *

Father Coughlin—and SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine—have been urging America for years to ferret out subversive groups in our midst. Finally, the nation has become aroused. Now, you cannot throw a stone without hitting some suspected "fifth columnist!"

In 1936, many persons ridiculed Father Coughlin when he first assailed President Roosevelt's "dictatorial" ambitions.

The President's third term candidacy and his secretive handling of our nation's foreign affairs, however, have forced many of these same persons to concede that the Priest of the Radio may have had grounds for his concern.

It was in 1936, too, if we recall, that Father Coughlin voiced a fear that that year's campaign might see the last free election for Presidential candidates in America.

Between "interventionist" Willkie and "interventionist" Roosevelt, does anyone still think the voters of this country have a free choice in 1940?

The above references are not made with any intention of boasting. The predictions, and their subsequent fulfilment by the facts, are simply a factual re-reading of the record.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Publishing Note

Book Not to Be Read

DOUGLAS REED is a British author and journalist.

Twice wounded while serving as an officer in the World War, he wrote a survey of European politics a few years ago entitled, *"Insanity Fair."* The book was anti-Hitler in content and sold widely in America after being endorsed by such fellow-journalists as Walter Duranty, John Gunther and H. R. Knickerbocker.

Last year, Mr. Reed wrote another book on the European scene which he called, *"Disgrace Abounding."* Like its predecessor, the book was anti-Nazi; but, unlike it, it was not published in America.

In the following paragraphs, taken from later editions of *"Disgrace Abounding,"* Mr. Reed explains in his own words why his book was rejected by American publishers.

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine does not necessarily agree with Mr. Reed or his views, but it is extremely interesting to read his report of the brazen censorship exercised upon American publishing firms.

For the information of our readers, it might be stated that Mr. Reed is no "Nazi" nor "Fascist" but a British "Liberal" who supported the so-called Loyalist government in the late Spanish civil war.

"After I wrote *'Insanity Fair,'* " he writes, "I was swamped by offers from American publishers for my next book. I signed a contract with one firm. When I began *'Disgrace-Abounding'* I did not know that it would be an anti-Semitic book. The anti-Semitic part is the result of my observation of the Jews in the last year and of my conviction that the mass influx of Jews to England is a political mistake and a national misfortune.

"The American publisher, after reading *'Disgrace Abounding,'* declined to publish on the ground that the Semitic part was 'slanderous and libellous.'

"Read the Jewish part for yourself and see if this is true. I, for my part, declined to have the book published anywhere without the Jewish chapters.

"The real meaning of that decision is that, in America, you may 'slander and libel' Germany as much as you like, and be paid for it, but you must not discuss the Jewish problem, you may not assert that there IS a Jewish problem.

"Other American publishers declined the book on the grounds that they could not publish the Jewish chapters. One of them, not a Jew, said that an American publisher would court misfortune by publishing it, *because 90 per cent of the American newspapers are Jewish, and the Jewish influence extends in similar proportion throughout the whole ring of trades connected with publishing.*

"I see very little difference between the Jewish and the Hitlerist method, in this matter of free speech and free discussion. The Jews are for free attacks on Germany, nothing else. The same thing happened in some of the Scandinavian countries, where *'Insanity Fair'* had great success and where publishers were clamouring for the next book—until they saw the Jewish chapters. They asked to be allowed to publish the book without them. I refused.

"The same thing happened in France even with *'Insanity Fair,'* where a publisher contracted for the book who apparently could not read English and only realized when he saw the French translation that there were a few passages in it which he did not consider sufficiently favorable to the Jews. He demanded their excision, I refused, and he sold the contract to another firm.

"So only in England, as yet, and possibly in France — although I do not yet know whether this book will appear in France— may a non-Jew openly discuss the for and against of the Jewish question.

"The importance of this, for you, is that you should realize that what is presented to you as 'American approval' or 'American disapproval' of this or that action of British policy is not 'American' but 'Jewish opinion,' and that this puts quite another face on the matter . . . "

* * *

The following excerpts are taken from two chapters of *"Disgrace Abounding"* entitled, *"How Odd of God,"* and *"The Long, Long Trail."*

"I stood, in the heat of that September crisis (1938) in a newspaper office in Budapest and talked with a young Jewish journalist.

" 'I am for war,' he said loudly, 'this is the moment to stop Germany.'

" 'You,' I said, 'but what would you do in this war?'

" 'Oh!' he said, airily 'I intend to survive it.'

" 'Then why call for war, if you are not going to fight?' I asked.

" 'What can I do?' he said, 'I am a Hungarian subject; that would mean fighting for Germany.'

" 'Why not go to Republican Spain and fight there,' I answered, 'or to Czechoslovakia, and fight with the Czechs?'

" 'That would be difficult,' he said, fidgeting. He too was thinking of a war between Gentiles for the purpose of exterminating anti-Semitism." (p. 229).

* * *

"In three Central European capitals that I know, the baptism of Jews, since the annexation of Austria, has become an industry. The step is taken in all cynicism, as a business proposition, a means of getting into countries which have banned the admission of Jews . . . The convert is usually reconverted to the Hebraic faith when the anti-Semitic period passes.

"These baptized Jews, who have no belief whatever in Christianity, join the community of 'non-Aryan Christians' for whom your church leaders constantly appeal.

"An industry has also grown up around the very distress of the Jews, namely, the industry of marriages bought and sold. All English readers have seen reports of cases where foreign Jewesses have paid foreigners to marry them in order to acquire another nationality and be beyond the reach of immigration bans and business hindrances. The most coveted of all passports — the passport, not the nationality or the husband, is the coveted thing—is the British. I was told by a Jew in Prague, 'Any young Englishman could earn a million kronen by marrying a Jewess from here.' His neighbor commented, 'He wouldn't need to be young.' (pp. 234-235).

"It is not true that Jews are better journalists than Gentiles. They held all the posts on these Berlin newspapers because the proprietors and editors were Jewish. The opinions of these newspapers were quoted abroad as samples of German opinion. They represented the Jewish interest exclusively, in their attitude to both foreign and domestic affairs. If another country was friendly towards Jews, they were friendly toward that country; if it was anti-Jewish they attacked it." (p. 238).

* * *

"In Berlin, one day, there was a Jewish journalist, a member of the staff of one of those snappy, sensational, bedtime story sheets. Came Hitler, and he retired to Vienna, and joined a newspaper of the same sort there. Came Hitler, and he retired to Prague.

"This man could by no stretch of imagination be called a German, an Austrian, or a Czech. He was a Jew, born in some place that once was Russia and now was Poland or Lithuania or Estonia or heaven knows what. He had supplied 'the German view' from Berlin, 'the Austrian view' from Vienna, 'the Czechoslovak view' from Prague. Now I saw him, day by day, in hotel lounges, deep in conference with well-meaning but ill-informed English people who had come to 'help the Czechs.' He poured a heart-rending tale into their ears, threatened to commit suicide. This was no destitute fugitive, but a slick fellow who was always well-fed and well-dressed and stepped smoothly across the frontier into another land every time anything happened to make him move on." (p. 240).

* * *

"If you have eyes to see, take a look at this London of yours, the greatest city of the world, in 1939. Go, with open eyes, from Marble Arch to Hyde Park Corner, along Piccadilly to Leicester Square, down the Strand to Fleet Street . . . It is as if a dragnet had been cast over Berlin and Vienna and Budapest and Prague and Naples and Paris and Warsaw and Cracow, and the catch dumped down here . . .

"Put your heads through the doors of the restaurants, Petit Paris, Klein Berlin, Manana's, Hoggensteins's, Posenovitch's, Umpsky's, and all the others, and see who is eating, who is serving, there. Stroll through the lounges, accursed word, of the cheap but splendiferous hotels around Piccadilly, the Strand and Marble Arch, and see what manner of people are reclining in those cushioned depths.

"Everything I have seen has confirmed the opinions I had formed during eleven years of wandering about the Continent, and I have had these opinions confirmed to me by Jews themselves. Now all these Jews are making plans to go to England, to the British Dominions, to America.

"It is not a solution; this new emigration will bring with it the same deterioration of standards in those countries, the same disproportionate and unjustifiable rise in the level of prosperity in the Jews above that of the native population, the same conditions that have played their large part in bringing about the present outburst of anti-Semitism . . . " (pp. 263-264).

* * *

"The Jewish question, misunderstood as it is in England, clouds what would otherwise be a fairly clear issue for English people. The great influence that organized Jewish communities in England, France and America have on the press in those countries helps further to cloud it.

"You must not forget that when you read in your newspapers outbursts of indignation about the treatment of the Jews, you are sometimes, and not infrequently, reading material inspired by Jews, whose innermost thought is that you should fight Germany, not for your own sake, but to exterminate anti-Semitism . . .

"It makes me read with the greatest scepticism all comment on the international dogfight which I know or suspect to come from Jewish sources." (p. 279).

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Opinion

Too Hot to Handle

OCCASIONALLY SOCIAL JUSTICE is castigated by radicals for publishing material that should be filed away for future generations to peruse.

That we shall not discuss.

However, we are interested in printing an editorial entitled *"The Purpose of War Conspiracy."* It was published in the *Chicago Daily Tribune*, advertised as the world's greatest newspaper.

Possibly, it is too hot for us to handle as of our own. Consequently, we are glad to reprint the paragraphs as we find them:

"The conscription bill as passed by the Senate, with its plant seizure amendment, completes the full-length picture of the conspiracy against the peace of the United States and reveals the meaning of it as affecting our political and economic system.

"There were Senators opposed to the conscription of men who voted for the conscription of property in order, as they said, to give the people the issue in its clearest terms. Others voted for it because it achieves the purposes of the dictatorship for which the New Deal has been working systematically since its beginning.

"People who now doubt this should reexamine their doubts. People who deny it should produce some better explanations. For several years there has been a half revealed idea in the most revolutionary New Deal circles that a great national emergency, if it could be built up, would be the best and possibly the only springboard from which to take off. A growing threat of war was needed.

"The expropriation of property was included in several mobilization bills for which a misguided support was drummed up. So long as there was no hysteria these bills, although introduced, were comparatively inactive. The question was how to get an emergency which would justify Congress in passing one of them.

"Entanglement in the affairs of Europe offered the best chance, and Mr. Roosevelt appeared as the entangler throwing the shadow of the American buckler and spear across the water while the governments of Europe were explicitly declaring their desire for peace and endeavoring to come to agreements which would preserve it. Of the so-called democracies the United States alone, with Mr. Roosevelt and his policy making officials as spokesmen, was declaring for a quarantine against aggressors and the use of force against tyrannies.

"Mr. Roosevelt alarmed some of the would-be European peacemakers and they tried to restrain him. In this country the web of entanglement was spun day by day. Mr. Roosevelt threw himself into every controversy and every conference. He engaged in personal disputes with the rulers of other countries and he threatened them with the displeasure of the United States. *The secret history of this period remains closed as yet,* but there is plenty on the surface. The people do not have to be reminded now how the neutrality law, which was designed to keep the country out of war, was amended with the purpose of making this nation a belligerent in everything "short of war."

"The third term needed a war, as Mr. Roosevelt testified in his radio speech accepting the Democratic nomination. The New Deal revolution needed a third term. The seizure of private property needed as a preliminary the conscription of men, and conscription of men needed the alarm that America was about to be invaded. . . .

"Here is the revolution in full bloom. The Communist-minded men who have formed the policies of the American Government have progressed as far as the most ambitious of them could have expected. With the war scare and the war conspiracy clearing the way for them, they are within sight of the Socialist dictatorship in which private enterprise is fully subjected to governmental controls.

"The third term will mean the end of America as we have known it. It has been planned that way and the New Dealers have reason to think that the goal is within sight."

* * *

'Dictator Roosevelt Commits an Act of War'

ANOTHER editorial hot potato—so "hot," indeed, that compilations of editorial opinion prepared for various newspapers refused to touch it—was the September 3rd editorial from the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch.*

The St. Louis paper even bought a page in the *New York Times* of Wednesday, September 4th, and ran it in the paid space. New York readers that day got "all the news that's fit to print," even if space had to be bought to print it.

The *St. Louis Post-Dispatch* is also Jewish-owned, but SOCIAL JUSTICE ventures to say that it is by the kind of Jewish leaders whom Father Coughlin means when he calls upon patriotic Jews to join with patriotic non-Jews in keeping America out of war and dictatorship.

We quote with commending comment the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch:*

"Mr. Roosevelt today committed an act of war.

"He also became America's first dictator.

"Secretly, his Secretary of State, Mr. Hull, entered into an agreement with the British Ambassador that amounts to a military and naval alliance with Great Britain. This secretly negotiated agreement was consummated yesterday, September 2.

"Today, Congress is *informed* of the agreement. Note well the word "informed." Although the President referred to his under-cover deal as ranking in importance with the Louisiana Purchase, he is not asking Congress—the elected representatives of the people—to ratify this deal. He is *telling* them it already has been ratified by him—America's dictator.

"The President has passed down an edict that compares with the edicts forced down the throats of Germans, Italians and Russians by Hitler, Mussolini and Stalin.

"He hands down an edict that may eventually result in the shedding of the blood of millions of Americans; that may result in transforming the United States into a goose-stepping, regimented slave State.

* * *

"Under our *Constitution,* treaties with foreign powers are not legal without the advice and consent of the Senate. This treaty, which history may define as the most momentous one ever made in our history, was put over without asking the Senate either for its advice or its consent.

"The authority which the President quotes for his fatal and secret deal is an opinion from the Attorney-General. Whatever legal trickery this yes-man may conjure up, the fact is that the transfer of the destroyers is not only in violation of American law, but is also in violation of the Hague Covenant of 1907, solemnly ratified by the United States Senate in 1908. It is an outright act of war.

"Undeterred by law or the most primitive form of common sense, the President is turning over to a warring power a goodly portion of the United States Navy, against the repeated statements of Senators, Navy Department officials and officers of the Navy that the ships are needed for our own defense.

"But that is only one phase of this insane performance. We get in exchange leases on British possessions in this Hemisphere—but only leases. What good will these leases be if Hitler should acquire title to these islands by right of conquest? There is even the possibility that, in the course of a negotiated peace, Great Britain might be forced to cede these islands to Hitler.

"What, then, will become of Roosevelt's leases? Obviously, to avoid all sorts of possible complications, we should have full sovereignty over our own naval and air bases.

"Of all sucker real estate deals in history, this is the worst, and the President of the United States is the sucker!

* * *

"Thomas Jefferson did not lease Louisiana from Napoleon Bonaparte. He acquired it outright, to have and to hold forever.

"Woodrow Wilson didn't lease the Virgin Islands from Denmark, with *the advice and consent of the United States Senate,* he bought them.

"In the case of Newfoundland and Bermuda, Mr. Roosevelt tells us that the right to bases "are gifts—generously given and gladly received." In other words, the great and rich United States is taking largess from a nation that owes us more than $5½-million! We are accepting a tip, according to the President.

* * *

"For at least ten years this newspaper has repeatedly called attention to the urgent desirability of acquiring Caribbean islands owned by Britain and France for our own defense purposes. In that belief, we are ardently in agreement with Mr. Roosevelt.

"No move was made to this end by Roosevelt or his predecessors, despite the fact that we had a trading argument in the billions of war debts owed to us by France and Britain.

"No, Roosevelt saw France go down without negotiating for the islands in exchange for the debts, and only now, with Britain in the throes of a desperate war, does the President move to protect our shores.

"But, in doing so, he commits an act of war. He strips our Navy of fifty valuable ships and he enters into leases which might not be worth the paper they are written upon in a month's time.

"And all this is done in utmost contempt of democratic processes and of the *Constitution* of the United States.

"If this secretly negotiated deal goes through, the fat is in the fire and we may as well get ready for a full-dress participation in the European war.

"If Roosevelt gets away with this, we may as well say good-by to our liberties and make up our mind that henceforth we live under a dictatorship.

"If Congress and the people do not rise in solemn wrath to stop Roosevelt now—at this moment—then the country deserves the stupendous tragedy that looms right around the corner."

Good for the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch!*

Once more, the inheritors of the Pulitzer tradition have proven faithful to their trust.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Robt. H. Jackson, Atty. Gen.

Laws Made to Order

WE RECOMMEND that Attorney General Robert H. Jackson be committed to five years at Harvard, diagramming complex sentences.

Either that, or subjected to a third degree grilling until he confesses the crime of mangling the English language to suit his Boss.

We refer to his now historic "legal" opinion approving the transfer of 50 American destroyers to Great Britain's battle fleet.

Brilliant lawyer, a complete master of his emotions, Mr. Jackson rewrote the *National Defense Act* of 1917, and, without cracking a smile, gave Mr. Roosevelt his solemn word that the deal was now perfectly legit.

Of course, this was very necessary because President Roosevelt always does things according to the *Constitution* and statutory law; and since the *National Defense Act* of 1917 clearly states that United States warships shall not be turned over to a nation engaged in a war in which the United States is a non-belligerent, something had to be done.

It was.

* * *

Confronted with this distasteful situation, Mr. Jackson "changed the law." He made the convenient discovery that the law didn't mean what it said at all.

By the simple device of "switching modifiers," he came up with an opinion asserting that the transfer of ships was forbidden only when those ships had been "built, armed, equipped as, or converted into vessels of war *with the intent that they should enter the service of a belligerent.*"

The text of the Act makes it as obvious as a piece of New Deal propaganda that the phrase, "with any intent," refers to "*sending out of the jurisdiction of the United States*" any vessel, built, armed, equipped as a vessel of war, etc.

It is equally patent to any 8th grade civics student that the object and spirit of the law is to prevent United States warships being sold, given or traded to a belligerent when the United States is at peace.

If you don't believe us, read the law.

* * *

It is interesting to speculate on what other Administration moves might be countenanced under Mr. Jackson's weird interpretation of the English language.

Why not give Great Britain our entire Navy? It would easily be justified under Mr. Jackson's "law," because the United States Navy was not built "with the intent" that it should enter the service of a belligerent.

How about giving the British all our airplanes? They, too, were not built "with the intent" that they should enter the service of a belligerent.

We have a lot of guns in the country which aiso were not built "with the intent" of handing them to a belligerent. Why not give them to the British?

Then there's the matter of food. We have more than we need in America—a burdensome surplus of corn and wheat, and plenty of beef, pork and sundry other goodies. Our farmers didn't produce those things "with the intent" that they should enter the service of a belligerent. Why not give it all to the British?

Millions of Americans drive automobiles. None of them were built "with the intent" that they should enter the service of a belligerent. In all justice we certainly should give them to Great Britain. They might come in handy over there, running down vicious rumors and "fifth columnists."

And lastly, we're supposed to have approximately 12-million men in America between the ages of 21 and 31. Now, none of those boys were born or raised "with the intent" that they should enter the service of a belligerent nation. So why don't we send them over to help Great Britain immediately?

Mr. Jackson, unquestionably, could declare the action legal.

No wonder Congressman Sweeney said that if the people knew what was going on in Washington America would revolt!

Could Law Be Plainer?

The *National Defense Act of 1917,* supposedly still in force, reads: "During a war in which the United States is a neutral nation, *it shall be unlawful to send out of the jurisdiction of the United States* any vessel, built, armed or equipped as a vessel of war, or converted from a private vessel into a vessel of war, *with any intent or under any agreement or contract, written or oral, that such vessel shall be delivered to a belligerent nation* . . . or with reasonable cause to believe that the said vessel shall or will be employed in the service of any such belligerent nation after its departure from the jurisdiction of the United States."

Oil

AMONG THE few amusements that imperial Britons get out of the present war are the strange diplomatic antics of the American "colonials," otherwise known as the United States of America.

When London "turned on the pressure," a little more than a month ago, President Roosevelt banned the export of American gasoline to Japan.

Consequently, thereupon, British-owned oil companies are now reported reaping a huge harvest of profit in oil sales to the Mikado's kingdom.

Of course, Japan is making war upon British interests in the Far East; but what of that?

Last April alone, the British-owned Anglo-Iranian Oil Co. sold a million gallons of oil to Japan, a correspondent reports.

The net result of all this, of course, is that the United States irritates still another potential enemy in the Far East; British imperialists reap still more profits; and London guffaws more heartily than ever at stupid old "Uncle Sucker."

W. R. Hearst and Mr. F.D.R.

THE SAGE of San Simeon, following the questionable trade of fifty warships for the rental of nine naval bases, had courage to print the following in his chain of newspapers:

"Well, folks, as your columnist foretold, we are in the war.

"We have delivered fifty destroyers from our Navy to one of the combatants, and that, of course, is an act of aggression and participation—a vicarious onslaught upon the other combatants.

"We are also providing expeditionary forces of a million men to be used at the discretion of Mr. Roosevelt—that is, assuming that he develops and displays any.

"The only question now is what the retaliatory measures will be by those whom we elect to consider our enemies.

"We are sticking out our neck.

"How and where and when and from whom are we likely to get a pain in it?

* * *

"Let us use our imagination.

"The first move of the Axis powers will probably be to make a defensive and offensive alliance with Japan.

"This the Axis would not do heretofore for fear of offending the United States, but now that the United States has decided to be offended willy nilly, or silly billy, whichever it is, the alliance is very likely to be made.

"So the good people on the Pacific Coast would better begin digging bomb-proof cellars because they may have Oriental visitors.

"Your columnist is thinking of moving his china and glassware from the hilltop at San Simeon over into the Naciemento Valley and would not feel entirely sure about his more fragile possessions even there.

"We all have in the neighborhood of three weeks, according to our admirals, in which to prepare to repel boarders.

"This writer thought it might take a little more than three weeks for our Navy to mop up the Japanese navy.

"But Peter B. Kyne, who is an expert in such matters, thinks three weeks is too long and that we can do it in less with one hand tied behind our back.

"Maybe he is right.

"At any rate, it will not be long until we know definitely about these debatable matters.

* * *

"The deed is done—the die is cast—Mr. Roosevelt has his way at last.

"His heart's desire has been to get us into war before the election, and apparently he has realized it.

"Furthermore, it must be good politics, since all the politicians are for it.

"And it must be good business since all big business is for it.

"Only the plain people do not want the war, and they are narrow-mindedly prejudiced because they will have to fight for it.

"Well, it is all over but the shouting—or rather the shooting.

"It *is 'fait accompli,'* as our once dear allies the French say—and they have a knack for saying things, although, perhaps, not of doing them.

"However, there still exists the question whether or not Mr. Roosevelt, representative of those forgotten men, the people, has the due and designated authority to give away the American Navy—all of it or any part of it.

"That, in view of events, may seem to be a wholly hypothetical or irrelevant question."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Our Preparedness

THE AVERAGE American citizen, if he gives much thought to the subject, must be perplexed when he tries to ascertain the state of American military preparedness.

Defending their regime, the New Dealers maintain on one hand that our Army and Navy are strong; bawling hysterically of an imminent Nazi invasion; the same New Dealers, on the other hand, tell us we are frightfully unprepared.

Newspapers and newsreels show us pictures of soldiers and National Guardsmen drilling with broomsticks, assertedly because the Army has not enough guns.

The effect is to frighten Americans into approving every administration move in the direction of "national defense."

The United States Senate recently heard a different version of the Army's alleged helpless condition.

It was told that General George Marshall, Army chief of staff, had said that the Army "has sufficient basic weapons to completely supply a full army of 3-million men; that means rifles, pistols, machine guns, 75-millimeter cannons (the famous French 75's—we have 3,400 of those French 75's), and the 3-inch trench mortars."

Authority for the Marshall statement, said Senator Gerald P. Nye of North Dakota, was Fulton Lewis, Jr., one of the most reputable radio news reporters in the nation.

According to Senator Nye, Mr. Lewis had asserted that the American people are being deliberately misled as to the actual situation regarding army equipment.

"There seems to be a tremendous, organized propaganda movement behind the appropriation," Lewis was quoted as saying over the Mutual Broadcasting System. He was referring apparently to certain national defense funds.

"It's your money that's being spent," Mr. Lewis told his radio audience, "and it certainly is not fair for your to be propagandized into thinking that one situation exists, when the facts are something else."

Quoting the Lewis broadcast further, Nye told the Senate, "so far as rifles go, the Army now has on hand 2-million Enfield rifles, which they say are excellent for training and 800,000 Springfield rifles, which are considered to be the best in the world. Using just the Springfields, that means there would be one and a third rifles for every enlisted man in the Army; if you want to include the Enfields, too, it means 4-2/3 guns for every man in the Army, but actually, according to the Army, there is only about one man in every four in an army who carries a gun; the others are in the cavalry, artillery, various other branches."

Lewis conceded a shortage in trench mortars, anti-tank guns, anti-aircraft guns, tanks and new Garland rifles. Production of most of these is under way, however, he declared. The Army has 50,000 new semi-automatic Garland rifles, and hundreds of them are being produced daily, Lewis reported.

In view of this information, which Lewis said came from General Marshall and the Official Information Section of the War Department, one wonders why soldiers and National Guardsmen have been using broomsticks and iron pipes in maneuvers.

Perhaps Senator Nye had the answer when he told his colleagues: "As of recent weeks there has been a constant effort to instill into American hearts a fear that we are not prepared for the worst that might come out of Europe today, and to build in American hearts a hysteria which would countenance anything being done in the name of national defense, including the conscription of American manhood in peacetime."

Black Magic

DANTE, the magician, disembarked in New York the other day, highly peeved at the Russian commissars of culture.

While putting on a show at a Moscow theater, the prestidigator was dismayed to discover that Red officials *had handed out program notes explaining all his tricks.*

"Don't consider for a minute that this is supernatural; this man is merely a skillful handler of mechanical contrivances," Reds told the onlookers.

We wonder if Stalin's Bolsheviks will ever go on to explain to the people their own particular brand of black magic, which has kept Russia in a state of abject poverty and hopelessness for the past twenty years.

Will Russian textbooks ever contain marginal notes frankly admitting that such mystical terms as "surplus value, proletariat, working classes and solidarity," are just convenient incantations used to secure the power of a clique of Bolshevik plutocrats?

Madame Tabouis

IN TORONTO, CANADA, Madame Genevieve Tabouis, the "Dorothy Thompson of France," predicted that the United States will be in the war before six months.

Based on Madame Tabouis' previous record of prophecy, this is practically a guarantee that the United States will remain at peace.

One of France's leading commentators, who fled her native country in its hour of peril, Madame Tabouis is responsible for the following classical boners:

The day before the Russo-Finnish war began, last September, she wrote that Stalin would settle his dispute with the Finns peaceably.

A year ago, she predicted the next German move in Europe would be a combined Nazi-Hungarian attack on Roumania. A week later Hitler invaded Poland.

Two days before last year's memorable Nazi-Soviet pact, she said Russia would shortly sign a military alliance with Britain and France.

As with Miss Thompson, Madame Tabouis' errors have served to increase rather than to diminish her prestige as a news analyst.

Current rumor has it that an American newspaper chain is negotiating for her services and Americans will soon be able to read the same falsifications and chatter that helped confuse and destroy France.

The Drift to War

THE *Boston Post,* independent Democratic newspaper of New England, has a forthright editorial discussion on our drift toward war.

If we are not to be a British "colony" we must revive our own Colonial tradition, the editor warns.

We quote, with commending comment, the entire editorial:

"It must be apparent to most Americans that our Ship of State is setting its sails toward war.

"The movement is accelerating day by day.

"We are beginning to accept propositions which, a few months ago, would be unthinkable. We are girding our minds for a struggle.

"It was only a short while ago that we were discussing with obvious timidity the provisions of the neutrality act.

"Now we are boldly talking about taking over British bases, the protection of Canada, and sending destroyers to England.

"The things which seemed so important yesterday in keeping out of war, are trivial today.

"It was not long ago that Senators Walsh and Lodge warned that export of arms would be followed by fuller and more belligerent aid.

"They were shouted down by persons who ridiculed any further help.

"But, as we look back, it was indeed the entering wedge.

"And we may be certain that the next step will be followed by another one, until we finally cross the final, vital, tragic line of demarcation between peace, of a sort, and war of grim reality.

* * *

"We seem to have forgotten the lessons of the World War.

"We have thrown overboard our ability to view this conflict in its broad lights of imperial struggle.

"We have forgotten that this nation, to even greater measure than England, is alone and friendless among the great powers of the earth and that our wealth, our gold and our flabby military power are a lure which will call down eventually the thunder of invading guns.

"The President appears to be translating our active sympathy for the British cause into inevitable participation in the war. We say this advisedly, with a large measure of concern, for it is the most adroit, secretive and decisive campaign in the history of America.

"He, and a powerful array of national leaders, have already linked us up with what will probably be the losing side.

"If the testimony of the war experts is worth anything, England will have to launch the mightiest offensive of all time to come out even.

"It is one thing for her to defend herself, but another to win back the continent of Europe from the greatest military power, and perhaps combination of powers, on earth.

* * *

"If our national existence depends upon England, then we had better enter the war now while there is still an England.

"If we accept our destiny as not British, not European and not Asiatic, but as American we will arm ourselves as no nation has ever armed, and will again raise the ancient cry of our ancestors—*'Don't tread on me!'* "

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Clare Hoffman

"RECENTLY, someone wrote that I was too critical of the New Deal," says Representative Clare E. Hoffman of Michigan in a Washington news letter which he mails to his constituents.

He continues:

"Here are the facts on one event of last week on which no opinion will be expressed, although in my judgment, constructive criticism is always proper. As an example: Do you recall that, more than two years ago, I introduced a bill to stop the exportation of scrap containing tin, because we needed the tin for national defense? It was not until within a few weeks that the President adopted that course.

"Last week, at the President's demand, Congress authorized an appropriation of $500-million to—I quote from the bill—'assist in the development of the resources, the stabilization of the economics, and the orderly marketing of the products of the countries of the Western Hemisphere.'

"The amount of obligations which the R.F.C. was authorized to issue was increased by $1½-billion. The limitation on the amount which the R.F.C. could loan to any one country was eliminated.

"Shortly, Congress will be asked for between $140-million and $160-million to meet the present emergency.

"Get this picture clearly in your mind. Before this session is over, Congress will have authorized the expenditure of something like $14-billion for defense and kindred purposes. Now, although we know that within two weeks, we shall be asked for this additional $140-million or $160-million, the people's Representatives last week voted, to be used largely to industrialize or, more plainly speaking, build factories in South America, buy surplus farm commodities grown in South America, the sum of $500-million.

"The argument was that, by so doing, we would prevent the food going to Europe and encourage the industries of our South American neighbors so that they would not deal with European countries.

"As one advocate of the plan said, we will spend $500-million this year; $600-million next year, and so on, to buy up the products grown in South America, store them and, if we must, give them away or burn them—this to prevent them going to Europe.

"I do not know what your opinion is.

"Because I could not see the advisability, when we have a debt of more than $50-billion, of borrowing more money to buy farm commodities or to build factories in South America when we have a surplus of farm products here, I voted against the authorization.

"What would you have done?"

' ' '

Elliott Roosevelt

IN THE *Congressional Record* of July 29, 1940 (page 14755) Mr. Elliott Roosevelt looms into the spotlight.

The electrical technician focusing the light is none other than Representative Schafer of Wisconsin.

Among his remarks are these:

"The New Deal administration in Washington was so impressed with Communism as preached and practiced in Moscow that shortly thereafter it commenced to advocate, effectuate, and emulate the planned economy of the Soviet conception of regimented bureaucratic autocracy.

"Shortly thereafter, in early 1934, according to the sworn testimony of the aviation expert Mr. Anthony H. S. Fokker, before the Special Senate Committee Investigating the Munitions Industry, Mr. Elliott Roosevelt made a deal with Fokker to sell the Communist Russian government 50 Douglas military airplanes of Lockheed make.

"Mr. Fokker's testimony revealed that the agreement which he signed with Mr. Elliott Roosevelt was a special agreement for the sale of these planes to Russia, and when questioned concerning why he had signed an agreement for such a large commission of $500,000 to Mr. Elliott Roosevelt and $500,000 to himself, Mr. Fokker explained that he had not felt that the prices which it was proposed to charge the Russians for these 50 military planes were at all reasonable and, in fact, he had thought them notably excessive, but that he had been persuaded by Mr. Elliott Roosevelt and Mr. Roosevelt's associate, Mr. Stratton, that Mr. Elliott Roosevelt had enough influence with the Export-Import Bank and the Russian Purchasing Commission, then in this country, to swing the deal at that excessive price

"Mr. Speaker, Mr. Elliott Roosevelt, the son of our New Deal President, is truly a great liberal — liberal when it comes to spending the taxpayers' money in order to make money for himself.

"Mr. Elliott Roosevelt proposed, through the Federal Export-Import Bank, to raid our almost bankrupt Federal Treasury of millions of dollars to hand to Soviet Russia for the purchase of 50 Douglas military airplanes from himself and his associate, Mr. Fokker, each of whom was to receive $500,000 commission on the deal

"In the interest of the taxpayers of the United States and our country's national defense it is essential that we have a thorough congressional investigation of all foreign and domestic military airplane purchases in the United States since the New Deal disciples of Karl Marx have had control of our Federal Government."

' ' '

Pepper Pot

READ THE following words.

They are not part of a Nazi propaganda pamphlet. They weren't spoken over the Berlin radio. They weren't written by Goering or Goebbels or Dr Westrick or Fritz Kuhn.

They were spoken on the floor of the United States Senate, August 22, 1940:

"Why, beside Hitler, with all of Napoleon's genius, with all of his greatness, with all the magic of his mind, I think Hitler towers above him like a great monument above a stone which lies at its feet.

"It took Napoleon years to conquer Europe. It took Hitler a few weeks.

"There has never been anything like the way he has moulded all the nations into an industrial and economic and intellectual entity . . .

"I think his genius is exceeded only by his daring drive for his schemes which stretches out before his unrivaled imagination"

The speaker was Senator Claude Pepper of Florida!

If Father Coughlin, Charles Lindbergh, or Henry Ford had spoken these words, the *Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League* would have protested to the F.B.I.; Jewish newspapers the next day would have been forced to print asbestos editions to contain their fiery articles; Walter Winchell and Dorothy Thompson would have now been victims of apoplexy.

All of which proves it isn't what is said, but who says it, that is important in contemporary America.

Pepper, because he kowtows to the New Deal, because he is a leader of the drive toward war, is allowed to say what he pleases.

Speakers on the other side of the fence, speakers in favor of peace, are "Fifth Columnists" before they even say, "Ladies and Gentlemen."

' ' '

Dr Edward Lodge Curran

ELSEWHERE in this edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE comment is made upon *PM's* attack on the Rev. Edward Lodge Curran, president of the International Catholic Truth Society, for his recent defense of Father Coughlin.

The defense which drew fire from the Communists' newest American journal was the following letter from Dr. Curran:

"Dear Fellow-Pastor:

"Several weeks ago you received a communication from me pointing out the fact that several articles in the first issue of the new newspaper, called *PM*, gave evidence of Leftist leanings, stubborn ignorance and indecency.

"In a letter to me, inspired by the foregoing analysis, Mr. Ralph Ingersoll, editor of *PM*, stated as part of his editorial policy the following:

" 'We are against people who push other people around, just for the fun of pushing, whether they flourish in this country or abroad.'

"Under date of August 15th, a day dear to all Catholics, *PM* has just published a vicious article attacking Father Coughlin as 'faithless to his God, his Church, his Pope' . . .

"Every sincere Catholic must denounce this unwarranted, uncalled for and vicious attack upon a priest who is a clergyman in good standing.

"It is not a question of acceptance or non-acceptance of Father Coughlin's economic and social theories, or of Father Coughlin's radio and magazine policies. It is a question of allowing a so-called secular newspaper to vilify a priest in good standing.

"By what right does *PM* set itself up as the judge of Father Coughlin's faithfulness to his God, his Church and his Pope?

"The article is malicious as well as sinister and slanderous. It vilifies a fellow-priest at a time when its re-hashed slanderous statements have no news value and can only succeed in stirring up racial and religious bitterness. For the sake of increasing its circulation, *PM* revives old feuds and subjects a Catholic priest in good standing to ridicule and vituperation.

' ' '

"I feel that the good people of your parish, no matter what their political or social or economic views, will wish to be acquainted with this definite violation of the policy of good will as expressed to me by the editor of *PM*.

"No Catholic can entertain good will toward's *PM*.

"Fraternally yours in Christ.

"Edward Lodge Curran.
"President International
Catholic Truth Society."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

Coffin Drapes

TO THE EDITOR:

The Legion of American Mothers has definite information that the War Department recently asked for bids on 150,000 coffin drapes, or interment flags, that are to be used to cover dead soldiers,' sailors' and marines' coffins.

Whose coffins will be under these interment flags? Your husband or sons?

The war-mongers must be very sure of themselves. They want to take our sons away from us, to fight and die.

Not to save America but to save England and her King.

What are we Americans going to do about this new outrage—sit still and hope?

Why are our sons being conscripted in peace-time?

Have we no backbone, especially those of us who remember the last war?

We must write to our Representatives and demand that they throw out the *Burke-Wadsworth Bill*, roots and all, and demand they be more pro-American and less pro-British.

I believe we still live in the United States and not in a British Dominion. The outrages committed on the American people should be stopped now.

Baltimore, Md. —**Mrs. H.D.**

Mothers' Parade

TO THE EDITOR:

I believe it is time for the mothers of America to parade in their cities and towns against conscription and against this country entering the war.

The last World War taught us a lesson we should never forget,

Garrison, N. Y. —**J.H.R.**

What Invasion?

TO THE EDITOR:

According to recent news reports, 97 per cent of all the orders for national defense have been given to Eastern concerns.

Now, according to all the hue and cry that has been going up in recent months, the whole reason for all this activity and expense is to get America in a position to repel invasion.

If this is the real reason, then why isn't the bulk of the money for new plants spent in the interior of the country, where they really would be safe from invaders?

Did not the British once burn Washington?

There is something very peculiar about this whole situation that should be thoroughly investigated.

Minneapolis, Minn. —**American**

Why Assail Lindbergh?

TO THE EDITOR:

"Eternal Vigilance is the Price of Liberty" applies at this time to our own United States no less than to other countries.

We have proudly boasted of the constitutional rights guaranteed us by the sacrifices of the founders of this Republic, the greatest among the nations of the world, and yet today is witnessed the very undemocratic, un-American method of suppressing the free expression of the American citizen.

We do well to remember at this particular time, the strenuous efforts being made by the administration politicians at Washington to involve our country in another foreign war; a war wholly unjustified; a war in which our people have no interest; a war destined, I believe, to forever settle commercial supremacy in Europe.

And yet when informed of true conditions existing in the Eastern Hemisphere, our patriots, with apparent sanction of the administration, seek to make of a genuine American, Col. Charles A. Lindbergh, something akin to a traitor.

Shelton, Conn. —**J.W.S.**

English People Victims

TO THE EDITOR:

Winston Churchill and other British political leaders are sacrificing the English nation. For what reason?

To save their imperial wealth in Europe and Asia.

I am certain if ever a real *blitzkrieg* would come to England, these leaders would be the first to flee and leave the poor innocent population to their fate.

I hope the British people now realize they are "goats" for the "war-mongers" who created the war of 1918 and also this World War. But perhaps the majority of the British people still think *"dulce et decorum est pro patria mori."*

Detroit, Mich. —**E.L.C.**

U.S. Flag Abused

TO THE EDITOR:

I recently returned from a trip through Nova Scotia and New Brunswick where I saw the English flag proudly waving from many buildings and flag poles.

At Port Glenville, Nova Scotia, a large four-masted schooner was beached for reconditioning and being refitted.

I went aboard and asked the man in charge if I might look over the boat. He offered to show me around and as we passed into the captain's cabin, I saw something that I could hardly believe.

At the further side of the room was a wooden bench with arms and back which would accommodate three persons to sit on. Being used as a cushion was an American flag!

I stepped over to the bench and picked up the corner of the flag and turned to the man who was watching me and said: "Is this what you use for cushions in this country?"

He was so taken back that he just mumbled something and quickly picked up the flag and placed it on a nearby table.

I immediately left the cabin as well as the vessel and wondered how long it would be before *Old Glory* resumed its former position as a seat cushion on this British schooner.

When Great Britain is asking and expecting so much from the United States, it seems to me a most thoughtless, disgraceful and downright disrespectful act for any British subject to misuse the *Stars and Stripes* as a seat cushion!

Boston, Mass. —**B.A.F.**

Shall We Cancel Out the Spirit of 1776?

TO THE EDITOR:

I once had an old English friend, who was as loyal in his friendship as he was to "dear old England," and that says a great deal. We agreed on everything from horses to hounds, but there was one thing on which we disagreed.

He disapproved the spirit of 1776, in no uncertain terms, and was still stronger in his opinions concerning George Washington, who he claimed should have been captured and roped to the first available tree.

From an Englishman's viewpoint, he was probably right, because George Washington, he said, had "betrayed his motherland and was guilty of high treason."

He would rejoice, if he were still alive, to see the spirit of 1776, as dead as Julius Caesar, and the one time Colonies returned to the motherland. That was his utmost dream, especially when he had a few mint juleps on board.

Buckle, in his well known book, *"History of Civilization in England,"* has this to say about the spirit of 1776, which is a striking contrast to what is in motion at the present time:

"On the other side of the Atlantic, a great people, provoked by the intolerable injustice of the English government, rose in arms, turned on their oppressors, and after a desperate struggle gloriously obtained their independence. In 1776, the Americans laid before Europe that noble *Declaration*, which ought to be hung up in the nursery of every king, and blazoned on the porch of every royal palace. In words, the memory of which can never die they declared that the object of the institutions of government is to secure the rights of the people."

During the past twenty-six years, the above quotations might have been of considerable use if they had been blazoned in some appropriate place in the White House, where the warnings of George Washington are so religiously disregarded, and where the rights and wishes of the people have found little or no consideration.

It is obvious with the combined aid of Mr. Roosevelt, together with Mr. Churchill, and the international money-changers at home and abroad, we are about to become the "flunkies" of Great Britain.

It was a portent of things to come, and has been accelerated by the Cecil Rhodes Scholarship Endowment; and many other institutions of a similar nature, too numerous to mention, have at last destroyed the last vestige of our spirit of 1776. All who adhere to the spirit of George Washington may shortly find themselves in concentration camps, or, as my English friend put it: "Roped to the first available tree"!

We have no fear of getting dictatorship — we have it, and it grows more dangerous from day to day.

Our alliance with England is assured by Mr. Churchill, and, furthermore, we are to enjoy the privilege of not only American citizenship, but British as well.

We have been sold down the Mississippi to Winston Churchill, all for the want of a Patrick Henry.

—**L.F.D.**

Hyattsville, Md.

Entrenched Politicians

TO THE EDITOR:

Secretary Knox says: "If England is defeated by Germany, we will be left without a friend in the world."

Well, whose fault is that? It is the fault of our present administration politicians. The thing for us to do is change the leadership in November.

One minute they tell us we need the draft to protect our country, but on second thought they think we don't need any protection until after election.

If the people are wise at all, they will chase these friends of the international bankers who would have us in the war, out of Washington, bag and baggage.

If Willkie is elected, and I think he will be, he will have to get the National Guard to get him in the White House. This gang will never move out—they will have to be evicted!

Boston, Mass. —**W.J.D.**

Why, Indeed?

TO THE EDITOR:

I would appreciate an intelligent answer to some questions that have been bothering me.

Did we win the Revolutionary War?

Can the British do no wrong?

If Britain is a democracy, why does India want her freedom? Why did the Irish rebel? Why did we?

Why do statesmen have to resign when they tell the truth?

Is conscription a political football?

If the common man is forced to fight in wars, why doesn't he share in the profits?

Why do we worry about foreign affairs when our own house needs cleaning?

—**Bewildered**

St. Louis, Mo.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

Loyal Salesmen

TO THE EDITOR:

I thought that old-time readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE would like to know that a former star salesboy of SOCIAL JUSTICE is back on the job. Several years ago when SOCIAL JUSTICE was a 5-cent newspaper, a young lad named Joseph Gibbons was one of our most dependable salesboys.

He has been studying to be a Christian Brother at Peabody, Massachusetts. He has been home for the Summer months and I noticed him selling SOCIAL JUSTICE at the old World Building near the Brooklyn Bridge where formerly another distributor, Bridget Farry, used to sell. He is still as enthusiastic as ever about selling and said he will do so at every opportunity as he realizes SOCIAL JUSTICE cannot have too many sales people.

It seems that the enthusiasm runs in the Gibbons family, as his Dad, intimately known as "Pop" Gibbons, can be seen every week at the corner of Church and Fulton Streets at the Hudson Tubes, New York, and Joe's older brother, Martin, has been a distributor for years. He works nights, but still manages to have his crew of boys out on Sunday morning covering many churches in Brooklyn.

It is good workers like this "Gibbons Gang" who are the backbone of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine sales and I hope this little information will be an inspiration for other persons who may wish to become salesmen or distributors.

Several business people in the downtown area of New York have asked where to buy SOCIAL JUSTICE, and this will serve as notice to others in that area who wish to purchase the magazine; namely, at Brooklyn Bridge and Church and Fulton Streets.

Brooklyn, N. Y. —J.H.S.

'PM' Dictatorship

TO THE EDITOR:

A New York City tabloid called *PM* is waging war against the newspapers that print in their want advertisements statements to the effect that only Christians are wanted.

Advertising pressure is being brought to bear to compel the papers to edit their "wanted" columns, so as to keep out what *PM* considers to be a slur on the Jews, Mohammedans, Buddhists and other races and religions. And all this from a publication pretending to favor liberalism and a free press!

What *PM* is trying to do is to increase the numbers of its Jewish readers. To that end it demands the right to tell advertisers for help wanted that they must not state the kind of help they want.

This is supposed by some persons to be free America, where an employer who wants help can tell the public the kind of help he doesn't want.

I hope that there is enough Americanism in the United States to stamp out the would-be dictatorship of that publication.

New York City —W.G.

Chicago Police

TO THE EDITOR:

Referring to the article in the issue of August 26th, on *"Mayor Kelly's Chicago."*

As a distributor of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine I wish to state that occasionally we encounter a police officer of this type, but in general I find no reason to complain.

I was at the south end of Soldiers' Field on that day selling and distributing free copies of SOCIAL JUSTICE and found no opposition from the police officers.

At the Flag Day celebration we encountered some opposition but not from the Park police.

I have been interested in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine since its birth and attended many meetings, selling and distributing this fine publication, and at no time have I ever found the police officers to be unreasonable.

Chicago, Ill. —C.A.S.

Soldiers Don't Vote

TO THE EDITOR:

Many are the theories as to why Mr. Roosevelt is so anxious to have the draft bill passed, even though military experts see no immediate danger of invasion and recruiting reports from all over the nation show that in many sections there are "waiting lists" of men willing to enlist.

How many Americans are aware that in twenty-nine of the States of the Union, there are laws which prohibit a man in uniform to vote?

Therefore, you can readily see that with a million or more men drafted into service by "proper manipulation" of the compulsory conscription, the New Deal can have the edge in the Fall election.

Waterbury, Conn. —R.E.D.

Good Pictures Sell

TO THE EDITOR:

Sam Goldwyn has been telling the sad tale of the former pants-pressers and fur trimmers who are running the motion picture industry. He says that there has been an enormous decrease in attendance at the movie houses, and thinks that the double-feature policy in general practice is responsible.

He is wrong. The real cause of the declining attendance is the low quality of most of the pictures inflicted on the public. People have grown tired of the cheap and sexy plays, interspersed with stories of prize-fighters, bandits and vulgar, supposedly humorous, low-grade stuff.

The financial managers of the picture racket don't know the meaning of the words "good taste" and go ahead on the theory that the millions of potential attendants are morons or persons of depraved taste.

I suspect that Sam gives his serious consideration to the fact that *"Kitty Foyle,"* the dirtiest and nastiest sex-ridden book of years, is to be put on the screens by M-G-M. This picture will be boosted by huge advertisements, telling of the conquest of an Irish girl by a Philadelphia blue-blood, who, of course, is "much superior to the Irish trash." There is nothing of interest in the story, but it will be boomed as another greatest - picture - ever - made, and the boob public will be expected to rush to see it. Will they?

One of the most valuable services rendered by the Roman Catholic Church is its fight against filthy books and vulgar, indecent motion pictures.

New York, N Y. —G.W.

'I Thought the Fight Was to Be Over Here'

—Cartoonist Elderman in the Washington Post

What's an Aggressor?

TO THE EDITOR:

Germany and Italy are designated as the "aggressor nations" in the conflict abroad.

Was America in 1775 and the Transvaal Boers in 1901 "aggressors" too?

I suppose if the 350-million poor, persecuted, enslaved people of India, who have for centuries suffered from the tyrannous, gold-based, financial capitalism of England should become powerful enough to battle their persecutors that they too would be labeled "aggressors" by those who permit their love for Empire to warp their common sense.

The English people are not to blame for this record of tyranny. It is the gold worshippers that are responsible, those powerful financialists who through a usurious, gold-based capitalism *have dominated the money of every nation in the world*, and who have brought poverty and disaster to all countries including America.

Germany justly challenged this vicious persecution and thus became an "aggressor."

No real American should knowingly defend the perpetuation of such unrighteous injustice.

Let America solve its domestic problems; and, most important, remember our idiocy of 1917

—J.P.

Malden, Mass.

Short-Sighted Aid

TO THE EDITOR:

Hearing about the manipulations of some American leaders who wish to help Britain win the present war by selling her 50 American destroyers, their procedure reminds me of a loss that I sustained when the economic crisis began eleven years ago

At that time I possessed a bond issued by a certain firm whose president was also president of another company, and inasmuch as the latter was in very bad shape, the dual president caused my debtor firm to lend $2-million to the semi-insolvent concern Such a monetary transfusion, of course, failed to save the needy firm from bankruptcy hence the lender, which owed me my hard earned savings, failed also

The circumstances just described may not be analogous to the management adopted by our Government in this crucial time of international troubles, but the comparison is plain enough to remind us that altruism must have a limit as everything else in our conduct, be it private, political or otherwise.

Will political hypocrisy be efficient enough, as to convince the emancipated mass of Americans that Hitler, once victorious abroad, would, and could, invade this country in the near future?

The destiny of America has no connection with that of Britannia, whose insatiable leaders took a rope long enough to strangle not themselves, as we commonly say, but their innocent subjects by millions

—G.A.F.

Upper Darby, Pa.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

A King for the U.S.A.

TO THE EDITOR:

Democracy, what crimes are committed in thy name!

After hearing Willkie's speech, I am forced to the conclusion that both he and F.D.R. are in complete agreement, except that Willkie objects to Roosevelt's statements and no doubt F.D.R. objects to Willkie's statements.

Willkie says it is the policy of the New Deal to do things by spending as much as possible and Willkie will do them as cheap as possible, even though as Willkie admits the man now on relief is receiving barely enough to exist on.

Willkie, after patting F.D.R. on the back, invites the President to appear in person with him on the public debating platform, specifically to debate the third-term issue.

In other words, Willkie says to Roosevelt, "Listen, Frank, I approve of everything you have done but you have had eight years of it. For crying out loud, give me a crack at tossing the ball at the Senators before you rip the cover off!"

Willkie throws the gauntlet to Hitler, and permanently — from 1940 on!

Willkie says Hitler is at war against our civilization, including our economic system, and we depend absolutely on the preservation of the British navy to insure the East Coast of our system. Therefore, we must render all aid possible to Britain, build up our defenses, conscript our manpower, and all of us, including those on relief, with those who earn less than reliefer's receive but can't get on relief because of their earnings, are to tighten up our belts and suffer further deprivations and taxations as we climb to glory and commercial supremacy.

In the name of the discarded eagle, which is becoming enfolded by a boa constrictor, Willkie, the candidate of the Republican Party is campaigning for the preservation of democratic institutions! What will Ethan Allen's boys in Vermont do about that?

The simple truth is that both Willkie and Roosevelt come about as close to being Democrats as Alexander Hamilton did, but Hamilton, the Statesman, made no pretense of it.

The Anglophiles have been in the saddle ever since Woodrow Wilson's first election.

Wilson established the Federal Reserve System—a branch of the Bank of England and a war-chest for the League of Nations.

Can it be that we are about to elect, not a President of the United States, but a Branch-Manager?

The signers of the Constitution realized that, sooner or later, we were bound to have a king, though they did their level best to postpone the crowning indefinitely.

—J.V.P.

Brooklyn, N. Y.

If There's An Election

TO THE EDITOR:

Mr. Willkie says he does not listen to the Radio Priest, yet he openly accuses him of racial and religious prejudice upon hearsay, and on those grounds, spurns his support, which, according to Father Coughlin, never has been proffered to him.

I, for one, am glad that Mr. Willkie has enlightened the public as to where he stands. It certainly clarifies the issue, and leaves no doubt in the minds of the people as to whom his backers are.

It is my belief that, like the "Happy Warrior" of other days, thousands of erstwhile Willkie voters will be "taking a walk" on November 5th.

IF *there should be an election on that date.* —M.J.Q.

Chicago, Ill.

The Lesser Evil

TO THE EDITOR:

My personal reaction to Willkie's acceptance speech is a *"non placet."*

His political convictions are not very cogent. His foreign policy is New Dealism *rechauffe*. The only difference seems to lie within the folds of a time table.

With the Republicans, our appeal for a Nazi Jujitsu will be deferred at least until our Army and Navy has waxed strong through Wall Street incubation.

Politically, neither path leads to future security. How long will America be subjected to selecting the lesser of two evils?

Conscientious voters still have a couple of months in order to look up Yahoodie.

—G.G.B.

Cohoes, N. Y.

Where Can American Voter Stand Now?

TO THE EDITOR:

In a deeply troubled and perplexed state of mind I now turn to you for counsel, after listening last evening to part of a news broadcast in which it was stated that Mr. Willkie had publicly declared that he did not wish the support of anyone fostering religious or racial hatred and to quote the broadcaster, "That he made it plain that he meant Father Coughlin."

I had just finished a long and hard day's work as is every day with me, and had just settled down to an evening—or what was left of it—of rest and reading, when I was shocked to hear the above quoted remark.

Just where now does the American voter stand?

Insidious propaganda is growing daily until it has reached an alarming degree.

In spite of the numerous protests which we have sent repeatedly to Senators and Congressmen, it seems that the Wall Street-concocted *Conscription Bill* will pass.

I voted for Roosevelt the first time, believing him to be able and sincere, but was as strongly opposed to him for a second term as I was in favor of him at first.

I cannot vote for him at this time and I will not vote for Willkie in face of this latest revelation. Yet failure to vote at all will be a vote for Roosevelt.

Mr. Willkie is certainly not stupid and it seems clear that he is very anxious to be elected. Perhaps it would be of assistance to you to know how an American voter, in my own case, has reacted to Mr. Willkie since first he was mentioned as a promising candidate.

I first heard his name mentioned last November, almost a year ago, in a Sunday afternoon radio discussion of the possible candidate material for President. The commentator seemed so sure at this time, though it was the first time that Mr. Willkie's name had been mentioned in this connection, that he was a strong prospect and would grow stronger as time progressed, that I was instinctively on guard and suspicious.

However, I try to keep an open mind and no doubt like many other real Americans I have been desperately searching for an honest leader. I had somewhat warmed to Mr. Willkie by the time of his nomination until he made his acceptance speech in which I was greatly disappointed, and since then one disappointment has followed another until the climax was reached last night and this morning.

It seemed to me that Mr. Willkie in his acceptance speech went out of his way to cry over the Jews, but not one word of commiseration for the numberless priests and nuns slaughtered by these same Jews, nor the many Christians slaughtered simply because they were Christians.

I get my knowledge of the Jews first hand. I have grown up with them in the city of Philadelphia, worked for them and with them and only as recently as a year ago my husband and myself managed a gentleman's farm in Duchess County for a New York Jew which resulted in such amazing revelations that we could stand it no longer and found ourselves other employment. During our stay on this Jew-owned farm, although we had a separate house situated at some distance from the owner's house, they spent much of their time in our home and brought all their friends with them when they were visiting there.

They all were not only Communists by their own admission but boasted of it, and for a time they thought that they could convert us to their beliefs. They would go out of their way to drag Catholic politicians into the conversation and sneer at them. At one time when I mentioned Father Coughlin purposely to note their reaction, the face of our employer and his brother, the only ones present at that time, underwent a lightning change and were distorted with hatred.

Whenever I wished to confirm my suspicions as to the Communistic leanings of a public character I would mention that person to them in the course of conversation and then sit back and watch them wax enthusiastic. Roosevelt, Mrs. Roosevelt, LaGuardia were their special pets. They hated Chamberlain and were loud in their praise of Anthony Eden.

—Mrs. J.M.B.

Three R's Beat Blaine

TO THE EDITOR:

The *New York Herald Tribune* of Wednesday, August 28, 1940, states, "Mr. Willkie said he had never listened to Father Coughlin's speeches or personally investigated the philosophy behind the Michigan priest's movement, but if the reports he had heard concerning the anti-Semitic character of SOCIAL JUSTICE were true, he wanted no part of it."

Assuming the above declaration of Mr. Willkie to be correctly quoted, his following statements are incompatible with common sense and justice.

Quoting from the *New York Sun* of Tuesday, August 27, 1940: "If I understand what his (Father Coughlin) beliefs are, I am not only not interested in his support, but I don't want it. If I understand correctly, he is opposed to certain people because of their race and religion, and so forth."

Further quoting Mr. Willkie from the *New York Sun:* "I am not interested in the support of anybody who stands for any form of prejudice as to anybody's race or religion or who is in support of any foreign economic or political philosophy in this country."

On what ground does Mr. Willkie insinuate that Father Coughlin stands for these principles, when he admits that he has "never listened to Father Coughlin's speeches or personally investigated Father Coughlin's philosophy"?

Finally, quoting from the *New York Sun,* Mr. Willkie says, "I don't have to be President of the United States, but I do have to keep my beliefs clear, that is in order to live alone with myself. I am not enough interested in being President of the United States to compromise with my fundamental beliefs."

In order to keep faith with those who nominated Mr. Willkie as candidate for the presidency, he owes it to his followers to will with all his might and conscience to be President.

He has given himself to *all* the people in his acceptance speech, therefore, should weigh all rumors in the balance and accept none without proof and verification—hence, there would be no difficulty "to live alone with myself." None should be condemned without a hearing.

Mr. Willkie, because he has accepted the call of the people, should be heartily interested in becoming President of the United States.

An editorial in the *New York Times* of Wednesday, August 28, 1940, titled *"Support Not Wanted,"* surreptitiously gloats over the damaging words of Mr. Willkie towards Father Coughlin, suggesting "that groups of the Coughlin type, hostile to Mr. Roosevelt" would be disastrous to Mr. Willkie's candidacy.

It took three R's in bigotry by a Birchard "Rum, Rebels and Romanism," to defeat the election of a Blaine.

Will false implications jeopardize the election of a Willkie?

New York City —J.J.A.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

'SUNRISE PERIOD' IS PASSING

But You Have Plenty of Time to Enter Contest and

Win $2,500.00

Our great "VICTORY CONTEST" now going into its fifth week will close on December 2–and that's mighty close to Christmas. In fact, it is the Christmas season.

Here is something to think about. You can make your Christmas of 1940 one of the happiest of your life by winning the Grand Prize of $2,500.00 or one of the other big cash awards. Those are pretty nice Christmas purses lined up in the prize-list on this page, aren't they?

The main point we wish to emphasize is this:

IT IS IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO GET IN EARLY.

The "SUNRISE PERIOD" of this great campaign will end on October 21. During this period subscriptions count more than in any subsequent period. And, bear in mind, all subscriptions whether "new" or "old" are on an equal basis as to Vote-Credits.

Now, there is a whole host of people right in your neighborhood who will be glad to help you to Victory by subscribing to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine. And–it is safe to say you have no competition yet in your locality.

This is the time for you to "clean up"–right now during the early weeks of the contest.

It's easy to enter the "VICTORY CONTEST" and costs you not a penny. Just fill in the Nomination Blank at the bottom of this page. Send it in with your initial subscription –and you will have a nice start of 270,000 votes.

Now, don't delay on this. Time is passing. You simply cannot afford to watch some $20,000.00 in cash prizes pass by without striking for your share of them.

Rush back your Nomination Blank and initial subscription so that we can send you by return mail all materials and instructions you need to proceed.

Get in line for some nice Christmas money. Do it right now.

Aren't These Beauties?

GRAND PRIZE	$2500.00
Second Prize	1750.00
Third Prize	1200.00
Fourth Prize	900.00
Fifth Prize	700.00
Sixth Prize	600.00
Seventh Prize	550.00
Eighth Prize	450.00
Ninth Prize	350.00
101 additional prizes	2000.00
27 special cash prizes	1000.00
Commissions estimated	8000.00
GRAND TOTAL	$20,000.00

VOTE-CREDIT SCHEDULE for ALL CONTEST PERIODS

SUBSCRIPTION	THIRD PERIOD Nov. 5—Nov. 18	SECOND PERIOD Oct. 22—Nov. 4	FIRST PERIOD Aug. 19—Oct. 21	FOURTH PERIOD Nov. 19—Dec. 2
2 yr. ($6.00)	240,000 votes	220,000 votes	210,000 votes	205,000 votes
1 yr. ($3.00)	120,000 votes	100,000 votes	90,000 votes	85,000 votes
10 mos. ($2.50)	100,000 votes	80,000 votes	70,000 votes	65,000 votes
8 mos. ($2.00)	80,000 votes	60,000 votes	50,000 votes	45,000 votes
6 mos. ($1.50)	60,000 votes	40,000 votes	30,000 votes	25,000 votes
4 mos. ($1.00)	40,000 votes	20,000 votes	10,000 votes	5,000 votes

Here's How to Start with 270,000 VOTES

'Start Off'

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

(Good for 120,000 Complimentary Votes)

Return this Coupon to the Contest Manager with your first yearly subscription payment ($3.00), either old or new, and you will receive 120,000 Complimentary Votes, in addition to the votes allowed on Vote-Credit Schedule.

Name of Subscriber _____

Address _____

City or Town _____

State _____

New ☐ Old ☐

(Your own subscription or renewal will count.)

This coupon, accompanied by the Nomination Blank and your first yearly subscription, will start you in the great Contest with 150,000 Complimentary Votes, plus the Vote-Credit on the subscription, as shown in the Vote Credit Schedule, making a total of 270,000 Votes. Only one of these coupons will be credited to a Contestant.

NOMINATION BLANK

(Good for 30,000 Complimentary Votes)

_____, 1940

Contest Manager, SOCIAL JUSTICE,
Royal Oak, Michigan.

Please enter my name as a Contestant in the "VICTORY CONTEST." I understand this Blank filled out and sent in will entitle me to 30,000 Votes and that my first yearly subscription, old or new, will entitle me to 120,000 Complimentary Votes.

My Name is _____

My Address is _____

City or Town _____ *State* _____

(You may nominate yourself or any other person)

ONLY ONE OF THESE COUPONS WILL BE CREDITED TO A CONTESTANT

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

The Nation's Outlook

THE OUTLOOK for the United States of America is none too sanguine, none too hopeful.

As a nation we have been guilty of heinous social sins.

In our domestic lives we have condoned and encouraged legalized adultery.

In our educational lives we have promoted godlessness in our schools and lasciviousness in our theaters.

In our political lives we have protected partyism, having encircled with a magnetic belt the sacred personages of grafters, radicals and internationalists.

In our diplomatic lives we have held fast to the crimson hand of the Soviet Communist.

In our municipal lives we have witnessed judges and minions of law consort with underworldlings.

In our religious lives we have played politics with financialists, with politicians and with powerful interests who cared not for God.

In our economic lives we have wasted our abundance, exploited the poor and espoused the principles of mammon worship.

These are but a few of the social sins of which we have been guilty.

* * *

Is it true or false that God punishes social crimes in this world and rewards social virtues in this world?

It appears that the Creator, infinitely patient as He is, often waits to inflict punishment or bestow reward upon individuals in the next life.

Since society, as operative on earth, does not exist beyond the grave, the Just Judge punishes or rewards society in this world.

Practically every nation in Europe—and within our lifetime—has paid the penalty of its social crimes.

Practically every nation in Asia has done likewise.

America will not escape – and should not escape – unless it repents and repents immediately.

It is all very well to sing *"God Bless America."*

God helps those who help themselves – and blesses those who bless themselves.

This nation has asked for it.

And this nation will receive it—unless, be it repeated, there is an immediate repentance on every front.

And the next phase of this world war—if wars can be considered as punishment?

Possibly it will take the form of revolution from within.

Possibly it will take the form of invasion from without.

Possibly it will affect every family, every individual, both good and bad, insofar as every family and every individual is a member of this society.

* * *

Pessimistic?

No; realistic.

And there is but one "out," one escape.

That escape is repentance.

That escape lies in the direction of the purification of the family, the purification of politics, the purification of diplomacy, the purification of education, the purification of religion and the purification of our ideals.

Peace instead of war.

Love instead of hatred.

God instead of godlessness.

Justice instead of injustice.

Truth instead of hypocrisy.

Spirituality instead of rank materialism.

Discipline instead of wild liberalism.

If you care to add more reforms to the above litany, you are free to do so.

Sunrise Period Growing Shorter In SOCIAL JUSTICE Victory Contest

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED